

Local Waiting Restriction Programme

Report of the Director of Climate Change, Environment and Transport

Please note that the following recommendations are subject to consideration and determination by the Committee before taking effect.

1) Recommendation

That the Committee be asked to:

- (a) note the work on the local waiting restriction programme;
- (b) agree the recommendations contained in Appendix 2 to this report.

2) Background

In October 2022, a list of requests for new or amended waiting restrictions for the area was collated by the council. These requests have been considered by officers and proposals drafted. Officers have consulted the relevant local County Councillors and Chair/Vice Chair before they were advertised from 20 April until 22 May 2023.

A summary of the proposals advertised can be found in Appendix 1 and the associated plans have been attached as supplementary information to this report.

3) Consultations/Representations

Details of the objections received to these proposals, and the County Council's response are shown in Appendix 2 to this report.

Following advertisement:

- The proposal that did not attract objections will be implemented.
- Proposals that received objections are detailed in Appendix 2 to this report with a recommendation for each location.

4) Strategic Plan

The restrictions proposed support the priorities in the Strategic Plan as they aim to improve road safety to improve health and wellbeing and to better manage traffic and parking in the areas to respond to the climate emergency and support sustainable economic recovery.

5) Financial Considerations

The total costs of the scheme will be funded from the Minor Traffic Management Improvements budget, funded by Local Transport Plan grant.

There is a cost to the Council in advertising a new Traffic Order for each Committee Area, this will be approximately £1,500. In addition, the costs of any changes to signing or lining will be attributed to that Order.

6) Legal Considerations

The lawful implications and consequences of the proposal have been considered and taken into account in the preparation of this report.

When making a Traffic Regulation Order it is the County Council's responsibility to ensure that all relevant legislation is complied with. This includes Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 that states that it is the duty of a local authority, so far as practicable, secures the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of traffic and provision of parking facilities. It is considered that the proposals comply with Section 122 of the Act as they practically secure the safe and expeditious movement of traffic.

7) Environmental Impact Considerations (Including Climate Change)

The proposals are intended to rationalise on street parking and improve mobility and access within the district and are designed to:

- Enable enforcement to be undertaken efficiently.
- Encourage commuters to make more sustainable travel choices e.g. Car Share, Public Transport, Walking and Cycling.
- Assist pedestrians and other vulnerable road users in crossing the highway.

The Environmental effects of the scheme are therefore positive.

8) Equality Considerations

There are not considered to be any equality issues associated with the proposals. The impact will therefore be neutral.

9) Risk Management Considerations

No risks have been identified.

10) Reasons for Recommendations

The proposals rationalise existing parking arrangements within the HATOC area by:

- Enabling enforcement to be undertaken efficiently.
- Encouraging those working in the town to make more sustainable travel choices e.g. Car Share, Public Transport, Walking and Cycling.
- Assist pedestrians and other vulnerable road users in crossing the highway.

The proposals contribute to the safe and expeditious movement of traffic in the area and therefore comply with Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.

Meg Booth

Director of Climate Change, Environment and Transport

Electoral Divisions: Bickleigh & Wembury, Dartmouth & Marldon, Kingsbridge, South Brent & Yealmpton, Salcombe and Totnes & Dartington

Local Government Act 1972: List of background papers

Background Paper Nil

Contact for enquiries:

Name: Amy Garwood

Telephone: 0345 155 1004

Address: M8, Great Moor House

ag130623shh

sc/cr/Local Waiting Restriction Programme

02 210623

Appendix 1 - to CET/23/43

Details of Proposals Advertised

Councillor	Plan Reference	Location	Parish/Town	Proposals	Statement of Reasons
Daniel Thomas	ENV6035-159	Yealm Road	Newton Ferrers	Introduce No Waiting At Any Time	Create passing places for larger vehicles
Daniel Thomas	ENV6035-54	Exeter Road	South Brent	Extend No Waiting At Any Time	Prevention of pavement parking
Daniel Thomas	ENV6035-125	Hillside	South Brent	Extend and introduce No Waiting At Any Time	Preventing obstruction from parked vehicles
Daniel Thomas	ENV6035-126	Totnes Road	South Brent	Introduce No Waiting At Any Time	Prevention of parking on the approach to the roundabout
Daniel Thomas	ENV6035-127	Elm Tree Close	Yealmpton	Introduce No Waiting At Any Time	Current measures prevent larger vehicles turning
Jacqi Hodgson	ENV6035-12	Follaton Rise	Totnes	Introduce No Waiting At Any Time. Update the order for the existing No Waiting At Any Time following the adoption of the highway	Preventing obstruction from parked vehicles
Jacqi Hodgson	ENV6035-207	Weston Lane	Totnes	Extend No Waiting At Any Time	Create space to manoeuvre vehicles
John Hart	ENV6035-268	Bovisand Lane	Down Thomas	Introduce No Waiting At Any Time	To prevent obstructive parking
John Hart	ENV6035-255	Church Road	Wembury	Introduce No Waiting At Any Time	To prevent obstructive parking
Jonathan Hawkins	ENV6035-249	Church Road	Dartmouth	Introduce No Waiting At Any Time	Preventing obstruction from parked vehicles
Julian Brazil	ENV6035-234	Saffron Park / Becketts Road	Kingsbridge	Introduce No Waiting At Any Time	Preventing obstruction from parked vehicles
Rufus Gilbert	ENV6035-247	Road from Bigbury Holiday Park to Marwynne	Challaborough	Extend and introduce No Waiting At Any Time	To prevent obstructive parking
Rufus Gilbert	ENV6035-87	Outer Hope Cross Roads to Lobster Pot Inn	Hope Cove	Introduce Limited Waiting 9am-7pm, 1st Apr - 30th Sept, 30 mins No Return within 30 mins	Prevention of long-term parking

Rufus Gilbert	ENV6035-33	Collaton Road	Malborough	Introduce No Waiting At Any Time	Improve safety
Rufus Gilbert	ENV6035-109	Collaton Road	Malborough	Introduce No Waiting At Any Time	Preventing obstruction from parked vehicles
Rufus Gilbert	ENV6035-32	Higher Town	Malborough	Extend No Waiting At Any Time	Improve safety
Rufus Gilbert	ENV6035-219	Barrack Road	Modbury	Extend No Waiting At Any Time	Provide safer environment for the school

Summary of Submissions

ENV6035-159 - Yealm Road, Newton Ferrers 6 respondents - 6 residents of Yealm Road	
Comments	Devon County Council Response
<p>2 respondents support and 3 respondents oppose the proposals. 1 respondent did not indicate whether they support or oppose the proposals.</p> <p>Objections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is no parking problem most of the time. - Occasional issues are caused by traffic due to building works and vehicles parking for leisure. - Proposals need to be part of a wider consultation due to wider parking problems in the town. - These proposals would move parking to other narrow areas not covered by restrictions. - Restrictions would lead to parking on and damage of the pavement. - Displaced parking would have a negative impact on the access to garages and gates elsewhere. - By limiting parking westward along Yealm Road, parking would increase on both sides of the road in an easterly direction, towards the Co Op. - Resident reports poor visibility from driveway due to parked vehicles. - Restrictions would not solve the causes of parking issues. <p>Suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Request for disabled bay on Yealm Road. - Resident requests 2 to 3 meters of 'No Waiting' (no parking lines) around the access to 65/67/69 Yealm Road. - Resident requests a solid red line ascending from outside 69 Yealm Road for a couple of metres to the East (Co-op side). - Resident requests parking restrictions on the southern side of the road outside 67 Yealm Road - Extend 'No waiting at Any Time' on the north side, opposite the entry of 67 Yealm Road. - Resident suggests a dotted line adjacent to the exit of No. 67 drive & ascending up hill to the entrance to Wren Cottage, 63 Yealm Road. 	<p>Reason for Proposal: Introduce No Waiting At Any Time</p> <p>To create passing places for larger vehicles.</p> <p>Officer comments: Devon County Council were asked to propose restrictions to address specific problems identified by the complainants. It is not possible to extend the restrictions at this stage, without readvertising the additional lengths of restrictions.</p> <p>It is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as advertised and if it proves necessary, further restrictions can be considered as part of a future review.</p> <p>Restrictions are implemented to resolve certain issues such as obstruction of the highway, but the root cause of these parking issues is the limited availability of parking space which can only be resolved by providing additional parking nearby, which we as the Highway Authority do not have the resources/land to provide.</p> <p>Requests for disabled bays need to be directed to our Customer Service Centre on 0345 155 1004, to ensure applicants meet all the criteria.</p> <p>A solid red line is a restriction used to maintain traffic flows by removing parking from a whole route in an inner-city location (similar to a Clearway restriction) and not a solution for very localised issues. A No Waiting At Any Time restriction is the most suitable type of restriction for this location which would need to be considered as part of a future review.</p> <p>A single access to multiple properties can be highlighted with an access</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Extend 'No Waiting At Any Time' at the junction with Wrights Lane where parked cars obstruct the views in both directions when pulling out. <p>Questions/Additional Info:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Resident reports poor visibility at the entrance to 65/67/69 Yealm Road due to parked vehicles. Obstruction of the drive would exacerbate parking issues on road. 	<p>protection marking (APM). APMs highlight the entrance and if placed appropriately are generally well respected; parking over a dropped kerb marked with an APM is enforceable by our Civil Enforcement Officers. Requests for APMs need to be directed to our Customer Service Centre on 0345 155 1004.</p>
<p>Recommendation It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.</p>	

<p>ENV6035-125 – Hillside, South Brent 4 respondents - 3 residents of Bishops Mead and 1 resident of School Gardens</p>	
<p>Comments</p>	<p>Devon County Council Response</p>
<p>4 respondents support the proposals.</p> <p>Supporting arguments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obstructive parking negatively impacting access for pedestrians. - Vehicles parking across dropped pavement accesses force people with mobility issues and families into the road - Current parking arrangements create a visibility issue for vehicles leaving the Mead. - Current parking arrangements lead to poor visibility of Hillside when pulling out of Station approach. - Current parking arrangements lead to road safety issues. Current parking arrangements lead to obstructive parking. - There is plenty of parking with the car park and also along station approach. - Plenty of alternative free parking available. <p>Suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Extend the proposed scheme, to include parking restrictions up to and including an area of at least 15 metres around the junction of Hillside Road and Bishops Mead. - Extending the existing 'No Waiting' on the eastern side of Station Road up to Number 20 Bishops Mead, (Hillside) to match the proposal. - Resident suggests a sign to discourage non-resident parking to deter displaced parking. - Introduce 'No Waiting at Any Time' around the junction of Brookwood Close and the Grass bank on Hillside. - Introduce 'No Waiting at Any Time' in front of dropped kerbs along Bishops Mead and Brookwood Close, as white lines hold no power and would be ignored. <p>Questions/Additional Info:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Resident expresses road safety concerns. 	<p>Reason for Proposal: Extend and introduce No Waiting At Any Time.</p> <p>To prevent obstruction from parked vehicles.</p> <p>Officer comments: Support noted.</p> <p>It is not possible to extend the restrictions at this time, without readvertising the additional lengths of restrictions.</p> <p>However, it is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as advertised and if it is believed necessary, further restrictions can be considered as part of a future review.</p> <p>Other new requests for restrictions will be forwarded to the appropriate DCC officer to discuss with the County Councillor.</p> <p>Dropped kerbs are enforceable without restrictions.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Resident expresses concern that restrictions would lead to new parking and safety issues along the grass bank on hillside, opposite the junction and on the junctions of Bishops Mead and Brookwood Close. - Resident reports obstructive parking on junctions of Bishops Mead and Brookwood close. 	
--	--

Recommendation
It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

ENV6035-126 - Totnes Road, South Brent
1 respondent - 1 resident of Clobells

Comments	Devon County Council Response
<p>1 respondent opposes the proposals.</p> <p>Objections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is already a lack of parking. - Parked vehicles are only an issue during term times. <p>Questions/Additional Info:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Where do you plan on the cars parking? - Plymouth Road with the junction to Brent Mill would be a bigger issue. 	<p>Reason for Proposal: Introduce No Waiting At Any Time.</p> <p>Prevention of parking on the approach to the roundabout.</p> <p>Officer comments: It is not appropriate for vehicles to be parked in this location at any time, due to its proximity to the roundabout.</p> <p>It is not the responsibility of the County Council to provide parking on the public highway. There are no rights to park on the public highway and parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic movements or visibility.</p> <p>Other location mentioned will be forwarded to the appropriate DCC officer to discuss with the County Councillor.</p>

Recommendation
It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

ENV6035-127 - Elm Tree Close, Yealmpton
7 respondents - 1 resident of Canberra Road, Plymouth, 2 residents of Elm Tree Close, 1 business of Fore Street, 1 resident of Moyles Park, Modbury, 1 resident of Sunnyside Cottages, Okehampton and 1 resident of Yealmbury Hill

Comments	Devon County Council Response
<p>2 respondents support and 5 respondents oppose the proposals.</p> <p>Objections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Double yellow lines are not necessary on the south side to resolve the turning and access issues of Elm Tree Close. - There is already a lack of parking. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o There is already a lack of parking for people who work in town. - Reducing parking capacity would impact residents. 	<p>Reason for Proposal: Introduce No Waiting At Any Time.</p> <p>Current measures prevent larger vehicles turning.</p> <p>Officer comments: If vehicles were to park on the south side this would block access into the private parking area. Vehicles that use this area</p>

- Reducing parking capacity would impact local businesses.
- The re-opening of Red Lion Hill should ease current issues with traffic.
- Residents needs parking.
 - o Person needs to park for work in Yealmpton.
- Parking is already an issue.
 - o Long term parking is already an issue.
- Restrictions would exacerbate parking issue.
 - o Restrictions would exacerbate long term parking issue.
- Alternative parking is not suitable.
- Resident reports residents from Fore Street parking in Elm Tree Close.
 - o Private parking is used by residents of Elm Tree Close.
- Residents feel that cars are needed for traveling to work as alternative public transport modes are not available.
- Private parking only provides parking for 1 vehicle.
- Main objection is the restrictions for the south side of the road.
- Street is used for commuter parking.
- Restrictions would just move parking issues.

Supporting arguments:

- Resident reports obstructive parking.
- Resident reports road safety issues.
- Resident reports damage to private property.
- Past restrictions were ignored.
- Parking issues have worsened due to volume of traffic.
- Parking issues have worsened due to the size of vehicles.

Suggestions:

- Resident request's introduction of alternative parking provision/arrangements for those who commute into the village to work.
- Resident requests the introduction of marked bays to prevent inconsiderate parking in the area.
- Resident requests the removal of the 30minute/2-hour restrictions on Market Road.
- Resident requests improving the situation with the pedestrian crossing.
- Resident requests more options for long term on or off-road parking.
- Resident requests measures to reduce traffic speed on Yealmbury Hill.

Questions/Additional Info:

- Not against the restrictions for the turning bay north side only. This will enable clear access for refuse collection vehicles.

would end up parked on street as a result.

The Council understands the need for parking for residents and those working in Yealmpton in locations such as this, but it has been highlighted that refuse vehicles are unable to access collection points with vehicles parked on both sides of the road. Therefore, parking is being removed from the northern side and in the turning head.

Existing keep clear markings have not been adhered to and are not enforceable, we therefore need to implement enforceable restrictions to aid access.

Support noted.

It is not the responsibility of the County Council to provide parking on the public highway. There are no automatic rights to park on the public highway and parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic movements or visibility.

Devon County would not be supportive of removing the time limited bays, as these allow customers to use the amenities in Yealmpton and encourages turnover of customers.

Improvement of the crossing and reduction of the speed are not within the remit of this traffic order. Comments will be

- With the very limited parking in and around the village centre, where are these workers supposed to park?	forwarded to the appropriate DCC officer to consider.
---	---

Recommendation
It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

ENV6035-12 - Follaton Rise, Totnes
2 respondents - Totnes Town Council and 1 resident of Follaton Rise

Comments	Devon County Council Response
<p>2 respondents support the proposals.</p> <p>Supporting arguments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Current parking arrangements create road safety issues. 	<p>Reason for Proposal: Introduce No Waiting At Any Time. Update the order for the existing No Waiting At Any Time following the adoption of the highway.</p> <p>To prevent obstruction from parked vehicles.</p> <p>Officer comments: Support noted.</p>

Recommendation
It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

ENV6035-207 - Weston Lane, Totnes
2 respondents – Totnes Town Council and 1 resident of Westonfields

Comments	Devon County Council Response
<p>2 respondents support the proposals.</p> <p>Supporting arguments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Restrictions would increase road safety. <p>Suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work with Totnes St Johns Primary School and families to locate suitable drop off points for children coming to school by car. A number of parents currently seem to ignore the waiting restrictions already in place. 	<p>Reason for Proposal: Extend No Waiting At Any Time.</p> <p>Create space to manoeuvre vehicles.</p> <p>Officer comments: Support noted.</p> <p>Difficult to prevent parents that already ignore existing restrictions.</p> <p>As the Local Highway Authority, it is our responsibility to ensure the safe passage of traffic and to address congestion issues. Providing drop-off spaces for schools is not part of our legal duty. We would however recommend the school discuss a school travel plan with the DCC Road Safety/Safer Travel Team if there is not one already in place.</p>

Recommendation
It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

ENV6035-268 - Bovisand Lane, Down Thomas

40 respondents – 2 residents of Abbotts Park, Cornwood, 2 residents of Amados Close, Plymouth, 1 resident of Widnes, Cheshire, 4 residents of Bovisand Lane, 23 residents of Bovisand Park Estate, 1 resident of Churchway, Plymouth, 1 resident of Down Road, Plymouth, 1 resident of Fishponds Road, Bristol, 1 resident of Retford, Nottinghamshire, 2 residents of May Terrace, Plymouth, 1 resident of Tudor Court, Saltash and 1 resident of Wallace Road, Plymouth

Comments

**Devon County Council
Response**

20 respondents support and 20 respondents oppose the proposals. (Please note 20 respondents amended their submission from oppose to support, after having received updated plans for this site)

Objections:

- Proposals are not necessary.
- All of the area in the Bovisand Lane proposal is a single-track lane so it is impossible to park on it.
- Passing bays are already signed 'No Parking'.
- There is no issue with cars parking in passing bays.
- This is an AONB.
- The wider area at the bottom of Bovisand Lane provides access to the sea and beach for less able people.
- Proposals do not include problem site/Proposals do not include entrance to Bovisand Park.
- Resident reports obstructive parking at the entrance to Bovisand Park.
- Resident reports parking issues particularly occur during the summer.
- Current parking arrangements lead to safety issues.
- Proposals pose a danger to residents and the general public as they do not solve parking issues at entrance to Bovisand Park.
- Access to Bovisand Park can be obstructed for emergency services.
- Resident reports parking issues particularly occur during the summer.
- Resident reports parking issues particularly occur during the evening.
- Resident reports parking in the turning area.
- Any parking on this road would lead to obstruction.
- Existing provision for drop off or pick up.
- Restrictions would exacerbate obstructive parking.
- The council has a responsibility to ensure that the welfare and safety of owners and other visitors to the area is given paramount consideration.
- There is ample parking within the estate and on the Bovisand Fort side.
- Any parking outside of those areas should be restricted.
- There is no need for parking at the bottom end of Bovisand Lane.

Reason for Proposal:

Introduce No Waiting At Any Time.

To prevent obstructive parking.

Officer comments:

Vehicles still park in the passing areas. This is an issue when opposing traffic meets as there is nowhere for them to go, the road is not wide enough to pass, so verges will be overrun and damaged.

Devon County Council were asked to upgrade the restriction from a Seasonal No Waiting to No Waiting At Any Time which is enforceable all year.

The existing signed restriction was not being adhered to; a double yellow lined restriction can be more of a deterrent to prevent vehicles parking in contravention.

Proposals will be marked for the extent of the public highway, which includes up to and across the gate entrance to Bovisand Park.

If we were to only mark the restrictions in the passing places, drivers may believe it is lawful to park outside of the lined sections and overrun verges to be as far off the carriageway as possible.

Drivers are able to load and unload or drop off passengers on No Waiting At Any Time (double yellow lines).

**ENV6035-249 - Church Road, Dartmouth
2 respondents - 2 residents of Church Road**

Comments	Devon County Council Response
<p>1 respondent supports and 1 respondent opposes the proposals.</p> <p>Objections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lack of off-road parking. - There is no alternative parking that would not impact other properties. - It would be a great loss to residents. - Traffic speed is low. - There is ample and safe room for vehicles to pass when vehicles are parked on either side of the road. - There are no issues with access by large vehicles. - There is sufficient provision for pedestrians with good visibility. - Restrictions would have a negative impact on residents. - Parked vehicles do not impact surrounding network. <p>Supporting arguments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Restrictions are necessary to allow a safe route through. - Cars are routinely parked on one side. - Service and emergency vehicles need safe access. - People park on both sides of this narrow strip. - The route round the green has to be kept clear and safe. - There is generally adequate parking at other points. 	<p>Reason for Proposal: Introduce No Waiting At Any Time.</p> <p>To prevent obstruction from parked vehicles.</p> <p>Officer comments: It is not the responsibility of the County Council to provide parking on the public highway. There are no rights to park on the public highway and parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic movements or visibility.</p> <p>It has been reported that parking both sides has caused damaged to some vehicles.</p> <p>Cones have been placed to try and discourage parking on both sides of the road.</p>
<p>Recommendation It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.</p>	

**ENV6035-247 - Road from Bigbury Holiday Park to Marwynne, Challaborough
4 respondents - 1 resident of Ringmore, 2 residents of Coastguard Cottages and 1 resident of Challaborough**

Comments	Devon County Council Response
<p>2 respondents support and 2 respondents oppose the proposals.</p> <p>Objections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Site has always been used for parking by residents. - Currently only 4 properties without parking. - Proposals would prevent residents of these 4 properties from parking. - Restrictions would lead to families leaving the already dwindling parish. - Resident does not have off road parking. - Resident needs parking when working from home, overnight and loading. 	<p>Reason for Proposal: Extend and introduce No Waiting At Any Time.</p> <p>To prevent obstructive parking.</p> <p>Officer comments: Devon County Council were asked to upgrade the seasonal restrictions to No Waiting At Any Time after discussions between the Parish and residents.</p>

- Alternative parking is limited.
- Alternative parking not available during the summer.
- Alternative parking is financially not viable.
- Proposals would decrease the value of their property.
- Proposals would lead to resident needing to park far away from the property.
- Proposals are unreasonable.
- Proposals are unfair to resident and neighbours.

Supporting arguments:

- Resident reports obstructive parking.
- Restrictions would reduce congestion.
- Restrictions would increase road safety.
- Current parking arrangements lead to obstructive parking.
- Current parking arrangements lead to road safety issues for pedestrians.

Suggestions:

- Introduce year-round restrictions.
- Resident suggests to leave the lay by, as well as sections down the hill towards the store where the road is wider free to park.
- Resident suggests that alternatively there could be a limited permit scheme for them and immediate neighbours.

Questions/Additional Info:

- This is the second time this proposal has gone for consultation, and this time some of the planned parking restrictions, from the seafront at Fryer Tuck's Chip Shop towards Bowling Green Cross, have been removed. Why is this? It would be helpful if residents were provided with an explanation.

There still appears to be a disagreement between residents over what is required and some have unrealistic expectations of what is achievable given the road widths.

Support noted.

Proposals seek to implement these restrictions all year.

It is possible to reduce the lengths proposed, a site meeting would be required to investigate what is possible to reduce.

Previous consultation was part of a corrections order to reinstate the historic restrictions in the community to match the existing signs and road markings. These upgrade those restrictions to all year.

Recommendation

It is recommended that a site meeting is undertaken with the local County Councillor to review objections and the site prior to making a decision on whether the advertised restriction (or any part of that restriction) should be progressed with the final decision delegated to the Director of Climate Change, Environment and Transport in consultation with the local County Councillor and HATOC Chair.

Comments

**Devon County Council
Response**

2 respondents oppose the proposals.

Objections:

- There are no significant problems at proposal site.
- Proposal site provides parking for holiday makers.
- Proposal site provides parking for people attending the Parish Hall and Playing Fields.
- Parish Hall and Playing Fields have limited parking.
- Parking is already limited.
- Restrictions would reduce parking capacity
- Restrictions would be removing much needed parking.
- Restrictions would move parking and road safety issues.
- Parking on the south-west side of Collaton Road at or near this junction would prevent large vehicles turning, using the Parish Hall access road.
- People with limited mobility already struggle to park and get to their houses.
- Summer visitors exacerbate the parking problem.
- Village hall parking capacity is not sufficient.
- Parked vehicles slow down traffic.
- Restrictions would allow speeding and would lead to health and safety issues.
- Speeding would exacerbate the health and safety issues.
- Resident has to step into the road from their property.
- School pupils use the road daily.

Suggestions:

- Resident suggests the No Waiting at Any Time should only cover 10 metres along the north-east side of Collaton Road from its junction with the Parish Hall access road.
- Resident suggests NOT extending the current No Waiting at Any Time restrictions on the north-east side of Collaton Road and rely on Rule 243, thus retaining the present number of parking spaces.
- Existing No Waiting At Any Time is extended south on the south-west side of Collaton Road to as far as the Parish Hall access road. This would ensure that lawful parking is restricted to one side of the road only.

Reason for Proposal:

Introduce No Waiting At Any Time.

To improve safety.

Officer comments:

The proposed restrictions seek to improve visibility when exiting the Village Hall access.

This is only removing approx. 10m of parking, and therefore the displacement of parking will be minimal.

Parking is not being removed from the side of the road with housing. If a disabled bay would facilitate those with limited mobility a disabled bay can be applied for via our Customer Service Centre, as long as the applicant meets the criteria.

Removal of such a short section of parking will not increase speeds.

Restrictions are not in front of any residential property.

The proposals are only approx. 10m long, it is just due to the wide junction that the description states 20m from the access.

It is not possible to extend the restrictions at this time, without readvertising the additional lengths of restrictions. If it is believed necessary, further restrictions can be considered as part of a future review.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

ENV6035-109 - Collaton Road, Malborough
1 respondent - 1 resident of Alma Terrace

Comments	Devon County Council Response
<p>1 respondent opposes the proposals.</p> <p>Objections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Restrictions would be removing much needed parking. - Parking is already limited. - People with limited mobility already struggle to park and get to their houses. - Summer visitors exacerbate the parking problem. - Village hall parking capacity is not sufficient. - Parked vehicles slow down traffic. - Restrictions would allow speeding and would lead to health and safety issues. - Speeding would exacerbate the health and safety issues. - Resident has to step into the road from their property. - School pupils use the road daily. 	<p>Reason for Proposal: Introduce No Waiting At Any Time.</p> <p>Preventing obstruction from parked vehicles.</p> <p>Officer comments: The proposed restrictions seek to improve visibility when exiting Marlborough Park and to avoid any obstructive parking on the entrance to Marlborough Park where vehicles should already not be parking, otherwise they would cause an obstruction.</p> <p>If a disabled bay would facilitate those with limited mobility a disabled bay can be applied for via our Customer Service Centre, as long as the applicant meets the criteria.</p> <p>Village Hall parking is unlikely to be relevant to this location.</p> <p>Removal of such short sections of parking on the junctions will not increase speeds.</p> <p>Restrictions are not in front of any residential property.</p>
<p>Recommendation It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.</p>	

ENV6035-32 - Higher Town, Malborough
11 respondents - 1 resident of Alma terrace, 6 residents and 1 business of Higher Town, 1 resident of Malborough Park, 1 resident of Parrot Close and 1 resident of Silverhill

Comments	Devon County Council Response
<p>11 respondents oppose the proposals.</p> <p>Objections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Parked vehicles slow down traffic. - Parked cars increase road safety around the primary school. - Restrictions would create an opportunity for/ would allow speeding. - There is already a speeding problem/ Resident reports speeding. - Restrictions would make existing speeding problem worse. - Speeding would exacerbate the health and safety issues. - Restrictions would increase traffic speed. - Restrictions would lead to road safety issues. 	<p>Reason for Proposal: Extend No Waiting At Any Time.</p> <p>To improve safety.</p> <p>Officer comments: Agree that vehicles do slow down traffic, but this road is narrow and two-way, it would be difficult to speed here.</p> <p>Wider sections are required to allow traffic to pass in either direction, significant sections are too narrow to allow this, so it is already single file traffic.</p>

- Restrictions would lead to road safety issues for pedestrians.
- Removal of parking would lead to road safety issues for elderly people as this is a very busy route with no footpaths.
- Residents reports safety concerns.
- Restrictions would allow traffic to pass simultaneously in both directions, making it more dangerous for residents and pedestrians.
- Current arrangements provide resident parking.
- Current arrangements allow dropping off of passengers and shopping.
- Restrictions would take away on street parking/ Restrictions would be removing much needed parking.
- Residents need parking.
- There is already a lack of parking/ Parking is already limited.
- Single file traffic acts as a traffic calmer.
- Single file traffic leaves more space for pedestrians.
- Restrictions would not improve safety.
- Restrictions would make road dangerous as there are no footpaths, traffic speed would increase.
- There has been no attempt to understand the traffic flows.
- Proposals do not include an identified waiting area for local businesses to load/unload.
- Restrictions would make it difficult for business to receive deliveries.
- Speed limit is not enforced.
- Resident reports that incorrect route planning via GPS leads to congestion.
- Proposals should not exacerbate the speeding issue and reduce safety. The council has a responsibility to demonstrate that proposals do not exacerbate the speeding issue and reduce safety.
- People with limited mobility already struggle to park and get to their houses.
- Summer visitors exacerbate the parking problem.
- Village hall parking capacity is not sufficient.
- There is no path in places in Higher Town.
- Resident has to step into the road from their property.
- School pupils use the road daily.

Suggestions:

- Introduce speed humps if removing these spaces.
- Introduce restrictions on the width, height and probably the weight, of vehicles.
- Council to re-evaluate the proposed parking regulation changes on Higher Town and consider alternative solutions that prioritize the safety of our residents.

Vehicles are able to load/unload and drop off passengers on No Waiting At Any Time (double yellow lines).

Enforcement of the speed limit is a police matter.

It is not the responsibility of the County Council to provide parking on the public highway. There are no automatic rights to park on the public highway and parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic movements or visibility.

If satnavs are taking drivers down this route, then it can be reported to those providers via their websites.

Removal of parking will aid those that step directly onto the road from their properties as it will prevent vehicles from being parked and blocking their front door.

There is currently no evidence to suggest that speed humps are required to reduce vehicle speeds.

Moving Traffic restrictions are not within the remit of this traffic order.

Questions/Additional Info:

- There was no opportunity to discuss proposals.
- When every other council is trying to slow down or stop traffic around schools, why are you proposing the opposite.
- What evidence has been collected to justify this proposal?
- I kindly request that the council provide any modelling and evidence that demonstrates the positive safety impact of the proposed changes.

Request was discussed with the County Councillor and Parish Council.

Recommendation

It is recommended that in light of objections received the proposals are abandoned. not progressed.

ENV6035-219 - Barrack Road, Modbury
1 respondent - 1 of Barrack Road
Comments

1 respondent opposes the proposals.

Objections:

- Restrictions would lead to increased traffic speed. Any parking restriction should be coordinated with enforced reductions in speed.

Suggestions:

- Restrictions will only be effective if enforced.
- Introduce limited restrictions at school times between 08.00-10.00 and 15.00-17.00.

Devon County Council Response**Reason for Proposal:**

Extend No Waiting At Any Time.

Provide safer environment for the school.

Officer comments:

Objection noted.

The restrictions will allow parking where there are footways in short sections and therefore will create natural traffic calming features. They will prevent parking closer to the school which leads to pedestrians walking longer distances in the road.

Vehicles will have slowed on the approach due to parked vehicles and there are plenty of warning signs to indicate a school, drivers should drive accordingly at drop off and pick up times.

Restrictions will be enforceable by our Civil Enforcement Officers.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.