

Local Waiting Restriction Programme

Report of the Director of Climate Change, Environment and Transport

Please note that the following recommendations are subject to consideration and determination by the Committee before taking effect.

Recommendation: It is recommended that:

- (a) work on the local waiting restriction programme is noted; and**
- (b) the recommendations contained in Appendix 2 to this report are agreed.**

1. Summary

This report is to consider the submissions to the statutory consultation on the restrictions proposed in the Teignbridge area, as part of the local waiting restriction programme.

2. Background

In October 2021, a list of requests for new or amended waiting restrictions for the area was collated by the council. These requests have been considered by officers and proposals drafted. Officers have consulted the relevant local County Councillors and Chair/Vice Chair before they were advertised from 28 April and 20 May 2022.

A summary of the proposals advertised can be found in Appendix 1 and the associated plans have been attached as supplementary information to this report.

3. Consultations/Representations

Details of the objections received to these proposals, and the County Council's response are shown in Appendix 2 to this report.

Following advertisement:

- Proposals which did not attract objections will be implemented.
- Proposals that received objections are detailed in Appendix 2 to this report with recommendations for each location.

4. Strategic Plan

The restrictions proposed support the priorities in the Strategic Plan as they aim to improve road safety to improve health and wellbeing and to better manage traffic and parking in the areas to respond to the climate emergency and support sustainable economic recovery.

5. Financial Considerations

The total costs of the scheme will be funded from the Minor Traffic Management Improvements budget, funded by Local Transport Plan grant.

There is a cost to the Council in advertising a new Traffic Order for each Committee Area, this will be approximately £1,500. In addition, the costs of any changes to signing or lining will be attributed to that Order.

6. Legal Considerations

The lawful implications and consequences of the proposal have been considered and taken into account in the preparation of this report.

When making a Traffic Regulation Order it is the County Council's responsibility to ensure that all relevant legislation is complied with. This includes Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 that states that it is the duty of a local authority, so far as practicable, secures the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of traffic and provision of parking facilities. It is considered that the proposals comply with Section 122 of the Act as they practically secure the safe and expeditious movement of traffic.

7. Environmental Impact Considerations (Including Climate Change)

The proposals are intended to rationalise on street parking and improve mobility and access within the district and are designed to:

- Enable enforcement to be undertaken efficiently.
- Encourage commuters to make more sustainable travel choices e.g. Car Share, Public Transport, Walking and Cycling.
- Assist pedestrians and other vulnerable road users in crossing the highway.

The Environmental effects of the scheme are therefore positive.

8. Equality Considerations

There are not considered to be any equality issues associated with the proposals. The impact will therefore be neutral.

9. Risk Management Considerations

No risks have been identified.

10. Public Health Impact

There is not considered to be any public health impact.

11. Reasons for Recommendations

The proposals rationalise existing parking arrangements within the HATOC area by:

- Enabling enforcement to be undertaken efficiently.
- Encouraging those working in the town to make more sustainable travel choices e.g. Car Share, Public Transport, Walking and Cycling.
- Assist pedestrians and other vulnerable road users in crossing the highway.

The proposals contribute to the safe and expeditious movement of traffic in the area and therefore comply with Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.

Meg Booth
Director of Climate Change, Environment and Transport

Electoral Divisions: All Teignbridge

Local Government Act 1972: List of Background Papers

Contact for Enquiries: Simon Garner

Tel No: 0345 155 1004 Room: M8, Great Moor House

Background Paper	Date	File Reference
Nil		

sg140722teighn
sc/cr/Local Waiting Restriction Programme
02 140722

Summary of Submissions

Councillor	Plan Reference	Location	Parish/Town	Proposals
Jerry Brook	ENV5888/01	Court Street	Mortenhampstead	
George Gribble	ENV5938-08	Templer Place	Bovey Tracey	EV Charging Bays
Alistair Dewhirst	ENV5938-17 - ,	Coffinswell Lane	Kingskerswell	
David Cox	ENV5938-28 ENV5938-29 ENV5938-31/B	Barnpark Road New Road Higher Brimley Road	Teignmouth	
Martin Wrigley	ENV5938-09 ENV5938-10 ENV5938-11 – ENV5938-12 ENV5938-32 ,	Church Street East Cliff Road Elm Grove Road John Nash Drive Cofton Hill	Dawlish Cockwood	
Sarah Parker-Khan	ENV5938-01.1 ENV5938-03 ENV5938-04 ENV5938-05	Eastern Road & Miner's Close North Street St Lawrence Lane Woodland Road	Ashburton	
Ron Peart	ENV5938-19 ENV5938-20 ENV5938-21 ENV5938-23 ENV5938-24 ENV5938-25 ENV5938-26 ENV5938-27	Chudleigh Road St Michael's Church Hall, Chudleigh Road Teign School Hele Road New cross Park & Clifford Ave Rydon Acres Crossley Moor Road Ogwell Mill Road	Kingsteignton	
Alan Connett	ENV5938-15 ENV5938-16 -	Fore Street Slittercombe Lane,	Ide Kenton	

ENV5938-01.1 - Eastern Road & Miner's Close, Ashburton	
2 respondents – 1 resident of Dolbeare Road, 1 resident of Ashburn Close	
Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> - The restrictions add to the existing parking problem. There is not enough parking available currently in the area. The parking congestion issue is everywhere, not just Ashburton. - will add fuel to the fire of ongoing local 'parking wars'</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> - restrictions to not go ahead</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> The respondents both oppose</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments:</u> The restrictions were requested because residents have difficulty driving safely through the junction because parked vehicles cause an obstruction. Lack of parking spaces does not justify dangerous parking.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Proceed as advertised.</p>	

ENV5938-03 - North Street, Ashburton	
3 respondents – 2 residents of North Street, 1 resident of Ashburn Close	
Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> - The siting of the loading bay is not correct; it needs to be moved outside the pub. - Why are valuable parking spaces being removed for a seemingly pointless loading only restriction...? The loading bay is only required for a 30-minute beer delivery each week, and that is all it seems?</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> - The siting of the restriction should be further up outside 64 North Street (The Bay Horse Inn) for various reasons listed in the response - parking should be provided throughout Ashburton that is free if further restrictions are made</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> The respondents oppose.</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments:</u> The loading bay has been positioned at the end of the restrictions so large vehicles can get in and out and where it is easiest for other traffic to pass safely. Currently large vehicles block the road on occasions if there is nowhere else to stop.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Proceed as advertised.</p>	

ENV5938-04 - St Lawrence Lane, Ashburton

1 respondent – 1 resident of Ashburton

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<u>Objection:</u> N/A <u>Suggestion:</u> N/A <u>Additional Info:</u> The respondent supports. But they do mention “The sooner these restrictions come into effect, the better. The double yellow restrictions will help with parking along Creamo’s but unfortunately think that the issue of “temporary” parkers can only be properly affected by more stringent controls”.	<u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To prevent obstructive parking <u>Officer comments:</u> Noted.
<u>Recommendation</u> Restriction to go ahead as planned	

ENV5938-05 - Woodland Road, Ashburton

1 respondent – 1 resident of Woodland Road

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<u>Objection:</u> - restriction will make the school run difficult as a resident will have to collect their children during the restriction time at their address on Woodland Road for the school run <u>Suggestion:</u> N/A <u>Additional Info:</u> The respondent opposes	<u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To prevent obstructive parking <u>Officer comments:</u> The proposal has been made to remove parking which poses a danger to young children.
<u>Recommendation</u> Restriction to go ahead as planned	

ENV5938-08 - Templer Place, Bovey Tracey

2 respondents – 1 of Riverside Community Centre, 1 of Templer Place

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<u>Objection:</u> N/A <u>Suggestion:</u> - The restriction is essential for the safety of this junction. The 11 metres proposed is the minimum distance that should be agreed, ideally it should be more. <u>Additional Info:</u> The respondents support. One states “Members of Bovey Tracey Town Council’s Planning and Environment Committee support the proposal to improve safety at this junction” and the other states “The junction is also made dangerous for pedestrians due to restrictions in visibility caused by parking close to the junction”.	<u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To prevent obstructive parking <u>Officer comments:</u> Noted.
<u>Recommendation</u> Restriction to go ahead as planned	

ENV5938-09 - Church Street, Dawlish

2 respondents – 1 resident of Barton Crescent, 1 of Church Street

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<u>Objection:</u> - Not enough parking in the area as it is, residents will find it harder to park. People visiting Manor Park and the Guide House nearby park in this area, which limits parking for residents if there is less space <u>Suggestion:</u> N/A <u>Additional Info:</u> Both respondents oppose	<u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To prevent obstructive parking <u>Officer comments:</u> Parking restricts visibility of drivers and prevents them seeing oncoming traffic.
<u>Recommendation</u> Restriction to go ahead as planned	

Plan ENV5938-10 - East Cliff Road, Dawlish

3 respondents – 2 residents of East Cliff Road, 1 of Bursledon Court

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<u>Objection:</u> - Existing double yellow lines aren't enforced so adding a restriction will not make a difference - by restricting this area, it will encourage "short Stay" vehicles to park in the communal car park - Why not make EV charging point bays instead of having short stay parking nearby - There should be no parking at all allowed from the entrance of Bursledon Court to Exeter Road, its dangerous for residents exiting/entering Bursledon Court <u>Suggestion:</u> - restriction should go further up East Cliff Road - traffic calming measures should be considered along Exeter Road from Elm Grove Rd to past East Cliff Road, e.g. speed cameras, road markings etc. Sooner or later someone is bound to get hurt as there are many disabled and elderly in the area. - extend no parking restriction from Charlton House up to 3 East Cliff, to make at least one direction of exiting 3 East Drive less dangerous, or extend the white line across 3 East Cliff's driveway by 1m on each side <u>Additional Info:</u> 2 respondents support (but add suggestions) and 1 respondent opposes	<u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To prevent obstructive parking <u>Officer comments:</u> We have attempted to balance the need for parking spaces with safety concerns. The proposals address the most significant concerns.
<u>Recommendation</u> Proceed as advertised.	

ENV5938-11 - Elm Grove Road, Dawlish

1 respondent – 1 resident of Newlands

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<u>Objection:</u> N/A <u>Suggestion:</u> N/A <u>Additional Info:</u> The resident supports. They state “It’s a very dangerous junction with obstructed views due to parking very near the corner. You cannot see oncoming traffic before you turn into the road”	<u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To prevent obstructive parking <u>Officer comments:</u> Noted.
<u>Recommendation</u> Restriction to go ahead as planned	

Plan ENV5938-12 - John Nash Drive, Dawlish

3 respondents – 1 resident of Summerhayes, 1 of North Lodge Close, 1 of Williams Close

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<u>Objection:</u> - Not enough parking for residents as it is, therefore, restrictions don’t seem just - Seemingly no current issues at junctions... - Parking congestion on John Nash Drive is no more congested than in many other parts of Dawlish - Speeding is an issue and the parking congestion acts as a traffic calming measure, so removing this could speed up through traffic <u>Suggestion:</u> - To not go ahead with the restrictions, or provide traffic calming <u>Additional Info:</u> All respondents oppose	<u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To prevent obstructive parking <u>Officer comments:</u> Residents have complained parking on the junctions is a safety hazard. Pedestrians cannot see oncoming traffic when they cross.
<u>Recommendation</u> Proceed as advertised.	

ENV5938-15 - Fore Street, Ide

3 respondents – 2 resident of Drakes farm, 1 resident of Fore Street

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> - revoking the existing NWAAT will mean there will be no space to wait during busy periods - The proposed changes only address part of the problem, (it will allow over large vehicles through the narrowest part of Fore St.).</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> - there is also significant congestion outside the community shop, it therefore requires a short no waiting area, on the North side of the street, in front of no 4 and the gate to no 2 (Wisteria Cottage). This would also require the release of 1 more parking space along the western part of Fore St. (ie 3 not 2 new parking spaces.)</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> 2 respondent support and 1 opposes. one asks “Would like to know what is meant by revoke existing no waiting at any time opposite the junction of Drakes farm. Does this mean that the parking will still be allowed opposite this junction?”</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal</u> To prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments</u> Proposing an extension would require starting the consultation process again.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Proceed as proposed but review the restriction again next year to see if an extension is justified.</p>	

ENV5938-16 - Slittercombe Lane, Kenton

1 respondent – 1 resident of Staffick Close

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> N/A</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> To go ahead with the restriction</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> The resident supports. They state, “On street parking along the side of Slittercombe Lane adjoining ‘Penhayes’ near its junction with the main road creates conflicting movements for vehicles leaving and entering the junction.”</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal</u> To prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments</u> Noted.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Restriction to go ahead as planned</p>	

ENV5938-17 - Coffinswell Lane, Kingskerswell

1 respondent – 1 resident1 of Princess Road

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> - There’s not enough parking already for residents in the area of the proposed restriction</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> - to not go ahead with the restriction</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> The respondent opposes</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments:</u> Parking hinders forward visibility through the junction, makes it difficult for opposing vehicles to pass safely and obstructs access to frontage premises.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Restriction to go ahead as planned</p>	

ENV5938-19 - Chudleigh Road, Kingsteignton

2 respondents – 2 residents of Chudleigh Road

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> - The proposed restriction may push traffic down Greenbank avenue</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> - traffic calming for motorbikes and cars - white line restriction outside 31 Chudleigh Rd garage entrance</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> 1 respondent supports and 1 respondent opposes. The supporting resident does however suggest “more speed ramps are fitted that not only slow cars down but also motor bikes” along Chudleigh Rd. Also it is stated that “a number of vehicles parked in Greenbank Road during the day are either teachers or students from Teign school” and “lots of vehicles park very close to the junction of Greenbank avenue and Chudleigh Road making it very difficult to turn in as you cannot see anything coming the other way”</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments:</u> Noted.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Restriction to go ahead as planned</p>	

ENV5938-20 - St Michael's Church Hall, Chudleigh Road, Kingsteignton

2 respondents – 2 residents of Chudleigh Road

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> - the parking calms the speed of traffic - the road has a weight restriction, but this is not adhered too - the restriction currently being proposed will just shift congestion further along the road.</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> - restricted parking during the day eg 08:00 to 18:00 or an hour either side of school drop off/collection - one-way system suggested along Chudleigh Road as pavements are narrow (not enough space for people with disabilities)</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> 1 respondent supports and 1 opposes</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments:</u> The restriction will keep the access free of parked vehicles so that pedestrians can cross and walk past in safety.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Restriction to go ahead as planned.</p>	

ENV5938-21 - Teign School, Kingsteignton

1 respondent – 1 resident of Chudleigh Road

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Removing present parking will increase speed of traffic through Chudleigh Road. - No provision to stop cars parking opposite present School Keep Clear in Chudleigh Road or Ley Lane. - Parking in Ley Lane opposite the Keep Clear markings which cause bottlenecks into Chudleigh Road reducing visibility has not been dealt with. - Present parking restriction not sufficiently policed. - No need to increase present restrictions <p><u>Suggestion:</u> Covered in objection section</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> The respondent objects.</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal:</u> To improve visibility</p> <p><u>Officer comments:</u> The proposal has been made to remove parking which poses a danger to young children.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Restriction to go ahead as planned.</p>	

ENV5938-23 - Hele Road, Kingsteignton

2 respondents – 2 residents of Exeter Road

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> N/A</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> - The restriction should be extended further south along Exeter Road to the bus stop, across the entrance of 198 Exeter Rd</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> The respondents support</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal</u> To improve visibility and prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments</u> We have attempted to balance the need for parking spaces with safety concerns. The proposals address the most significant concerns.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Proceed as advertised.</p>	

Plan ENV5938-24 – New cross Park & Clifford Ave, Kingsteignton

8 respondents – 5 residents of New Cross Park, 1 of 'TQ23 3HN', 2 of Clifford Avenue

Comment

Devon County Council Response

Objection:

- The restrictions do not go far enough up New Cross Park to prevent school traffic usage of road
- parking traffic may now move to Chudleigh road
- New Cross Park is not a wide road and will become more congested further away from Chudleigh Road if these proposals go ahead

Suggestion:

- Restrictions should go further up New Cross Park (at least up to the cemetery)
- There are disabled people that haven't been able to pull onto their own drive easily as traffic from Teign school park here for much of the day
- allocation of parking for the school and nursery is currently not enough. There should be a designated area at the rear of the school
- have red lines along Chudleigh Road from Newcross to Teign School as nursery parents are parking outside that entrance already opposite the bollarded area
- There is a bus stop outside the primary school, which should be moved further up
- Driving past the primary school, there should be flashing patrol school traffic lights for cars to slow down when it is drop off and pick up as there is no lollypop person
- Exeter road around the corner towards the river Teign is wide enough to create safe school free parking.

Additional Info:

Some respondents oppose, whereas others support but suggest changes too. One respondent states "The gate leading onto Chudleigh road is a accident waiting to happen" and "Parents taking the children to the nursery also have no choice to park locally where they can as the access to the main entrance of Kingsteignton school is not possible as the gate is always closed". Another respondent states "Driving up you don't know it's a school until you are there outside. You have too much going on in one little spot!"

Reason for Proposal

To improve visibility and prevent obstructive parking

Officer comments

The proposal prevents waiting around the junctions, where it creates an obvious hazard.

Recommendation

Proceed as proposed.

ENV5938-25 - Rydon Acres, Kingsteignton

2 respondents – 2 residents of Rydon Acres

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> - The restrictions will encourage people to park around Rydon Acres. The residents here already struggle to find parking as it is.</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> - Rydon Acres should be made residents only as School Traffic and Tennis Court users obstruct residents. Parking for residents is a “nightmare”</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> The respondents support but want resident only parking provisions. One respondent states “We have to deal with [school traffic and tennis court users] parking on pavements, grass verges and blocking driveways”.</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal</u> To improve visibility and prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments</u> The proposal prevents waiting around the junctions, where it creates an obvious hazard.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Proceed as proposed.</p>	

Plan ENV5938-26 - Crossley Moor Road, Kingsteignton

2 respondents – 1 resident of Longford Lane, 1 of Crossley Moor Road

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> - Restrictions along Crossley Moor Road prevents residents (without their own parking spaces) from having anywhere to park - the proposal of the double yellow lines will only cause the problem to be pushed further in a different direction - revocation of the disabled bay seems unfair</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> - provide resident parking facilities - restriction should extend further up Longford Lane because the parking traffic will just move further up this road</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> 1 respondent supports and 1 respondent opposes</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal</u> To improve visibility and prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments</u> Parking on a complex junction is hazardous and prevents the safe passage of opposing traffic streams. Pedestrians crossing the roads cannot see oncoming vehicles.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Proceed as proposed.</p>	

ENV5938-27 - Ogwell Mill Road, Kingsteignton

3 respondents – 2 residents of Ogwell Mill Road, 1 of Mayfield Crescent

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> N/A</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> - Is it possible to have parking for residents only outside of the houses Numbers 11 to 19 In Ogwell mill road - restrictions should be extended to the junction with Chercombe Valley Road - the restrictions must include the ends /corners of Mayfield crescent lowered kerbs both sides of the entrance which cars always park across. The same for Emblett drive drop kerb. - The bus stops are always blocked by cars all day and residents have to get on and off the bus in the road</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> The respondents support. One asks “I live on Ogwell Mill Road, are these restrictions applicable to me? as the previous restrictions weren't applicable to residents”.</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal</u> To prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments</u> While there seems to be support for extending the proposal, other residents might not be so agreeable. Proposing an extension would require starting the consultation process again.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Proceed as proposed but review the restriction again next year to see if an extension is justified.</p>	

ENV5938-28 - Barnpark Road, Teignmouth

1 respondent – 1 resident of Barnpark Road

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> - parking situation is already poor for local residents. This will make it worse for them.</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> N/A</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> The resident opposes</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal</u> To prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments</u> Parking on a tight junction on a blind bend is hazardous and prevents the safe passage of opposing traffic streams. Pedestrians crossing the roads cannot see oncoming vehicles.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Proceed as proposed</p>	

ENV5938-29 - New Road, Teignmouth

1 respondent – 1 resident of Chantry Close

Comment	Devon County Council Response
<p><u>Objection:</u> N/A</p> <p><u>Suggestion:</u> - Extend to junction of highgrove park to improve safety for traffic pulling out of highgrove park</p> <p><u>Additional Info:</u> The resident supports</p>	<p><u>Reason for Proposal</u> To prevent obstructive parking</p> <p><u>Officer comments</u> The proposal attempts to maximise parking but prevents it on the junction where it causes a hazard.</p>
<p><u>Recommendation</u> Implement as proposed.</p>	

ENV5938-31 - Higher Brimley Road, Teignmouth	
2 respondents – 2 residents of Higher Brimley Road	
Comment	Devon County Council Response
<u>Objection:</u> - There is an objection, but they say their solution is in JPEG form. <u>Suggestion:</u> - N/A <u>Additional Info:</u> 1 respondent supports and 1 respondent opposes	<u>Reason for Proposal</u> To prevent obstructive parking <u>Officer comments</u> Parking on a complex junction is hazardous and prevents the safe passage of opposing traffic streams. Pedestrians crossing the roads cannot see oncoming vehicles.
<u>Recommendation</u> Restriction to go ahead as planned.	

ENV5938-31B-Higher Brimley Road, Teignmouth	
1 respondent – Teignmouth Town Councillor	
Comment	Devon County Council Response
<u>Objection:</u> - “Complete waste of money - if just that small piece of Yellow line is added to the existing lines, it still won't stop the obstructive parking on the other side and won't achieve anything” <u>Suggestion:</u> - What is needed is a no waiting at any time on the other side of the road from the boundary between 40 and 42 for a good 100 yards from the boundary of no 42 onwards towards Buckeridge Road, it is suggested to create a passing space and enable traffic to pass in both directions - bearing in mind you get artics, dustcarts, delivery lorries all going down this road. <u>Additional Info:</u> The respondent opposes. They also state “Is the RP Zone being shortened by the proposed no-waiting at any time? The problem is nothing to do with that, it is to do with parking on the other side of the road which is unrestricted parking up to, and beyond the end of the RPZ making it difficult for even a car to get through. There is a sort of brinkmanship going on where, because there is no yellow line on that side, people park as far forward, legally as they think they can without obstructing traffic flow - sadly they often get it wrong”	<u>Reason for Proposal</u> To prevent obstructive parking <u>Officer comments</u> While there seems to be support for extending the proposal, other residents might not be so agreeable. Proposing an extension would require starting the consultation process again.
<u>Recommendation</u> Proceed as proposed but review the restriction again next year to see if an extension is justified.	

ENV5938-32 - Cofton Hill, Cockwood

2 respondents – 1 resident of Vicarage Road, 1 of Cofton Hill,

Comment**Devon County Council Response**Objection:

- restricting the marked stretch on Cofton Hill will only displace parking round the corner onto the entrance to Vicarage Road.

Suggestion:

- the proposed restriction is extended around the corner, between 5 and 10m into Vicarage Road, to avoid total obstruction of Vicarage Road and ensure free passage of normal and emergency traffic at all times.

- at the right turn into Vicarage Road it should continue for approx 10 meters round the corner

- higher level of enforcement needs to be made in the area

Additional Info:

The respondents support the current restrictions

Reason for Proposal

To prevent obstructive parking

Officer comments

Noted. While there seems to be support for extending the proposal, other residents might not be so agreeable. Proposing an extension would require starting the consultation process again.

Recommendation

Proceed as proposed but review the restriction again next year to see if an extension is justified.