

## **Local Waiting Restriction Programme**

Report of the Director of Climate Change, Environment and Transport

Please note that the following recommendations are subject to consideration and determination by the Committee before taking effect.

### **Recommendation: It is recommended that:**

- (a) work on the local waiting restriction programme is noted; and**
- (b) the recommendations contained in Appendix 2 to this report are agreed.**

## **1. Summary**

This report is to consider the submissions to the statutory consultation on the restrictions proposed in the East Devon area, as part of the local waiting restriction programme.

## **2. Background**

In October 2021, a list of requests for new or amended waiting restrictions for the area was collated by the council. These requests have been considered by officers and proposals drafted. Officers have consulted the relevant local County Councillors and Chair/Vice Chair before they were advertised from 15 June until 13 July 2022.

A summary of the proposals advertised can be found in Appendix 1 and the associated plans have been attached as supplementary information to this report.

## **3. Consultations/Representations**

Details of the objections received to these proposals, and the County Council's response are shown in Appendix 2 to this report.

Following advertisement:

- Proposals which did not attract objections will be implemented.
- Proposals that received objections are detailed in Appendix 2 to this report with recommendations for each location.

## **4. Strategic Plan**

The restrictions proposed support the priorities in the Strategic Plan as they aim to improve road safety to improve health and wellbeing and to better manage traffic and parking in the areas to respond to the climate emergency and support sustainable economic recovery.

## **5. Financial Considerations**

The total costs of the scheme will be funded from the Minor Traffic Management Improvements budget, funded by Local Transport Plan grant.

There is a cost to the Council in advertising a new Traffic Order for each Committee Area, this will be approximately £1,500. In addition, the costs of any changes to signing or lining will be attributed to that Order.

## **6. Legal Considerations**

The lawful implications and consequences of the proposal have been considered and taken into account in the preparation of this report.

When making a Traffic Regulation Order it is the County Council's responsibility to ensure that all relevant legislation is complied with. This includes Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 that states that it is the duty of a local authority, so far as practicable, secures the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of traffic and provision of parking facilities. It is considered that the proposals comply with Section 122 of the Act as they practically secure the safe and expeditious movement of traffic.

## **7. Environmental Impact Considerations (Including Climate Change)**

The proposals are intended to rationalise on street parking and improve mobility and access within the district and are designed to:

- Enable enforcement to be undertaken efficiently.
- Encourage commuters to make more sustainable travel choices e.g. Car Share, Public Transport, Walking and Cycling.
- Assist pedestrians and other vulnerable road users in crossing the highway.

The Environmental effects of the scheme are therefore positive.

## **8. Equality Considerations**

There are not considered to be any equality issues associated with the proposals. The impact will therefore be neutral.

## **9. Risk Management Considerations**

No risks have been identified.

## **10. Public Health Impact**

There is not considered to be any public health impact.

## 11. Reasons for Recommendations

The proposals rationalise existing parking arrangements within the HATOC area by:

- Enabling enforcement to be undertaken efficiently.
- Encouraging those working in the town to make more sustainable travel choices e.g. Car Share, Public Transport, Walking and Cycling.
- Assist pedestrians and other vulnerable road users in crossing the highway.

The proposals contribute to the safe and expeditious movement of traffic in the area and therefore comply with Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.

Meg Booth  
Director of Climate Change, Environment and Transport

**Electoral Divisions: All in East Devon**

### **Local Government Act 1972: List of Background Papers**

Contact for Enquiries: James Bench

Tel No: 0345 155 1004 Room: M8, Great Moor House

<b>Background Paper</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>File Reference</b>
Nil		

jb140722edh  
sc/cr/Local Waiting Restrictions Programme  
02 140722

**Details of Proposals Advertised**

<b>Councillor</b>	<b>Plan Reference</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Parish / Town</b>	<b>Proposals</b>	<b>Statement of Reasons</b>
Ian Hall	ENV5933-01	Sector Lane	Axminster	No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Tigers Way	To prevent obstructive parking and give clear visibility
Ian Hall	ENV5933-02	Woodbury Park	Axminster	No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Woodbury Park (southern spur)	To prevent obstructive parking and give clear visibility
Marcus Hartnell	ENV5933-03	Berry Hill	Beer	No Waiting At Any Time to replace the Limited Waiting	To prevent obstructive parking.
Marcus Hartnell	ENV5933-04	Mare Lane	Beer	No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Short Furlong	To prevent obstructive parking.
Marcus Hartnell	ENV5933-05	Fore Street	Beer	No Waiting At Any Time across the pedestrian dropped kerb	To prevent obstructive parking.
Henry Gent & Sara Randall-Johnson	ENV5933-06	Dog Village	Broadclyst	No Waiting At Any Time from the Allotments to the School Keep Clear	To prevent obstructive parking
Henry Gent & Sara Randall-Johnson	ENV5933-07a/b/c/d	Killerton	Broadclyst	No Waiting At Any Time at various locations around the accesses to the Killerton Estate	Changing Temporary Restriction to Permanent
Christine Channon	ENV5933-08	High Street	Budleigh Salterton	No Waiting At Any Time outside 32 to creating a passing place	To prevent obstructive parking
Christine Channon	ENV5933-09	Fore Street	Budleigh Salterton	No Waiting At Any Time across the access between 10 & 14	To prevent obstructive parking
Christine Channon	ENV5933-10	East Budleigh Road	Budleigh Salterton	Extend No Waiting At Any Time north of Boucher Road	To prevent obstructive parking
Christine Channon	ENV5933-11	Cliff Terrace	Budleigh Salterton	No Loading At Any Time on top of the No Waiting At Any Time restrictions	To prevent obstructive parking
Henry Gent & Sara Randall-Johnson	ENV5933-12	Road from Honiton Road to Pound Corner	Clyst Honiton	Upgrade No Waiting to No Waiting At Any Time	To prevent obstructive parking

<b>Councillor</b>	<b>Plan Reference</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Parish / Town</b>	<b>Proposals</b>	<b>Statement of Reasons</b>
Henry Gent & Sara Randall-Johnson	ENV5933-13	Woodbury Road	Clyst St George	Upgrade Advisory School Keep Clear to a Mandatory School Keep Clear	To prevent parking outside school
Marcus Hartnell	ENV5933-14	Queens Court	Colyton	No Waiting At Any Time at junction with Queens Square	To prevent obstructive parking
Christine Channon	ENV5933-15a	Foxholes Hill	Exmouth	Extend No Waiting At Any Time outside Belle Vue	To prevent obstructive parking
Christine Channon	ENV5933-15b	Foxholes Hill	Exmouth	No Waiting for Motor Caravans 8pm-8am from 10 to opposite 27	To prevent obstructive parking
Christine Channon	ENV5933-16	Long Causeway	Exmouth	Extend No Waiting At Any Time on the south side	To improve visibility
Christine Channon	ENV5933-17	Buckingham Close	Exmouth	No Waiting At Any Time around the turning head	To prevent obstructive parking
Christine Channon	ENV5933-18	Douglas Avenue	Exmouth	No Waiting At Any Time around the junction with Mayfield Drive	To improve visibility
Christine Channon	ENV5933-19	Louisa Place	Exmouth	No Waiting At Any Time outside 7, 9 & rear of 9 Dagmar Road	To prevent obstructive parking
Christine Channon	ENV5933-20	Raddenstille Lane	Exmouth	Extend the No Waiting At Any Time on the west side at the junction with Salterton Road	To improve visibility
Richard Scott & Jeffrey Trail	ENV5933-21	Hulham Road	Exmouth	No Waiting At Any Time at the junction with Exeter Road	To prevent obstructive parking
Richard Scott & Jeffrey Trail	ENV5933-22	Hulham Road	Exmouth	Bus Stop (No Stopping At Any Time Except Buses) outside 192	To prevent obstructive parking
Richard Scott & Jeffrey Trail	ENV5933-23	St Johns Road	Exmouth	No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Marcus Road	To improve visibility and prevent obstructive parking
Richard Scott & Jeffrey Trail	ENV5933-24	Phear Avenue	Exmouth	No Waiting At Any Time on both sides around the bend into Hamilton Lane	To prevent obstructive parking

<b>Councillor</b>	<b>Plan Reference</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Parish / Town</b>	<b>Proposals</b>	<b>Statement of Reasons</b>
Richard Scott & Jeffrey Trail	ENV5933-25	Station Road	Exton	No Waiting At Any Time across the exit to the Exe Estuary Trail	To prevent obstructive parking
Phillip Twiss	ENV5933-26	Ashleigh Road	Honiton	No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Dowell Street	To prevent obstructive parking
Phillip Twiss	ENV5933-27	King Street	Honiton	No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Kings Mews	To prevent obstructive parking
Phillip Twiss	ENV5933-28	Ottery Moor Lane	Honiton	No Waiting At Any Time for both sides along a length of the road near the junction of Crier Street	To prevent obstructive parking
Richard Scott & Jeffrey Trail	ENV5933-29	The Strand	Lympstone	No Waiting At Any Time to shorten Limited Waiting bay outside Acorn Cottage	To prevent obstructive parking
Jessica Bailey	ENV5933-30	Littledown Lane	Newton Popleford	No Waiting At Any Time on the west side of the junction with Littledown Orchard	To prevent obstructive parking
Jessica Bailey	ENV5933-31	Church Hill	Otterton	No Waiting At Any Time on the west side at the junction with Fore Street	To prevent obstructive parking
Jessica Bailey	ENV5933-32	Chapel Lane	Ottery St Mary	No Waiting At Any Time on both sides at the junctions of Yonder Street and Sandhill Street	To prevent obstructive parking
Jessica Bailey	ENV5933-33	The College	Ottery St Mary	No Waiting At Any Time opposite the steps to the church	To prevent obstructive parking
Marcus Hartnell	ENV5933-34	Marlpit Lane	Seaton	No Waiting At Any Time on the west side at the junction with Beer Road	To prevent obstructive parking
Stuart Hughes	ENV5933-35	Fortfield Terrace	Sidmouth	No Waiting At Any Time on both sides at the end of the road	To prevent obstructive parking
Stuart Hughes	ENV5933-36	Arcot Park	Sidmouth	No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Arcot Road	To prevent obstructive parking
Stuart Hughes	ENV5933-37	Sid Vale Close	Sidford	Extend No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of School Street	To prevent obstructive parking

<b>Councillor</b>	<b>Plan Reference</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Parish / Town</b>	<b>Proposals</b>	<b>Statement of Reasons</b>
Stuart Hughes	ENV5933-38	Sid Park Road	Sidmouth	No Waiting At Any Time adjacent to 34, at the junction of private southern spur	To prevent obstructive parking
Stuart Hughes	ENV5933-39	Bickwell Valley	Sidmouth	No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Boughmore Road	To prevent obstructive parking
Stuart Hughes	ENV5933-40	Kestell Road	Sidmouth	No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Hillside Road	To prevent obstructive parking
Jessica Bailey	ENV5933-41	Bendarroch Road	West Hill	No Waiting At Any Time on the south side at the junction with St Marys View	To prevent obstructive parking at the junction and narrow road
Iain Chubb	ENV5933-42	The Square	Whimble	No Waiting At Any Time on both sides where the road is narrowest	To prevent obstructive parking
Richard Scott & Jeffrey Trail	ENV5933-43	Castle Lane	Woodbury	Upgrade Advisory School Keep Clear to a Mandatory School Keep Clear and new No Waiting Mon-Fri 8.30am-4.30pm opposite	To prevent obstructive parking and improve visibility

Summary of Submissions

**ENV5933-01 Sector Lane, Axminster**

**4 respondents – 1 residents of Sector Lane, 1 resident of Tigers Way and 2 residents of Lyme Road**

**Comments**

**Devon County Council Response**

1 resident supports and 3 residents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- double yellow lines on this road will cause congestion elsewhere; potentially causing accidents;
- Resident would feel unsafe parking on Lyme Road itself as it is a much busier road especially during the school run;
- Tigers Way estate has no room for the number of cars currently parking along Sector Lane;
- Sector Lane is not busy enough to warrant such a parking restriction;
- Flats on Sector Lane have visitors such as family, healthcare workers or meals on wheels that utilise this parking;
- People park on both sides of Lyme Road with 2 wheels on the pavement, restricting access for wheelchairs and push chairs;
- Proposed restrictions have been extended too far up sector lane, encouraging people to park outside their property and obstruct their visibility trying to pull out from their drive.

**Supporting arguments:**

- Supports proposal.
- Entrance to Tigers Way is constantly restricted by parked cars and commercial vehicles, causing visibility concerns and hampering access to emergency vehicles.

**Suggestions:**

- restrictions do not go far enough and should extend further into Tigers Way.
- would it be possible to leave 1 or 2 spaces.
- restrictions to be replicated on the lower side of the Tigers way entrance as well to avoid drive being obstructed.

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Tigers Way to prevent obstructive parking and give clear visibility.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes visibility at junctions, as per the highway code.

It is not possible to extend the restrictions as part of this process so it is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as proposed and if problems arise then further restrictions can be considered as part of a future review.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.



**ENV5933-02 Woodbury Park, Axminster****4 respondents – 3 residents of Woodbury Park and 1 resident of Lyme Road****Comments****Devon County Council Response**

2 residents support and 2 residents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- Restrictions will take away multiple parking spaces;
- Respondent objects to proposals outside numbers 60 to 62;
- Carers, Meals on Wheels, cleaners and Community Nurses regularly visit the residents who live in the Valley View flats and there is nowhere else for these services to park;
- Anyone exiting their drive onto Sector Lane or exiting from Tigers Way or indeed Cridlake should be vigilant anyway and take care when coming on the road;
- Restrictions would not create a clear view all the way up the road;
- Restrictions would cause more problems than they would solve.

**Supporting arguments:**

- supports restrictions as long as they are still able to be picked up and dropped off on the yellow lines
- supports proposals on south side.

**Suggestions:**

- requests additional No Waiting At Any Time outside of number 57.

**Questions:**

- would I get permission /financial aid for a driveway.

**Additional Info:**

- reports issue with larger vehicles parking opposite 57.

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Woodbury Park (southern spur) to prevent obstructive parking and give clear visibility.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes visibility at junctions, as per the highway code.

Details on how to apply for a dropped crossing for a driveway can be found on our website. The council does not offer financial support for private residents to install off-street parking.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-03 Berry Hill, Beer****2 respondents – 1 resident of Berry Hill & 1 resident of Clapps Lane****Comments**

2 residents support the proposals.

**Supporting arguments:**

- The road is too narrow for parking at this site;
- Emergency services have been unable to pass;
- Cars have been forced to reverse the entire length of Berry Hill and reverse onto New Road;
- Parking at the bottom of Berry Hill means it is often too narrow for cars to pass.

**Suggestions:**

- Please also make Berry Hill one way downwards.
- Please implement before the summer traffic.

**Devon County Council Response****Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time to replace the Limited Waiting to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

The restrictions will be implemented as resources allow before the end of the financial year.

The introduction of a one-way falls outside the scope of this scheme but any change would increase vehicle speeds and impact road safety.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-06 Dog Village, Broadclyst 54 respondents – 2 residents of Tower View, 1 resident of Maple Road & 2 responses from The Baptist Church**
**Comments**

1 resident supports and 4 residents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- Restrictions would encourage speeding and increase the risk of collisions;
- large vehicles should be approaching this area from the A30;
- proposals restrict parking for residents which will put a strain on other roads in the area;
- proposals do not show consideration of previous problems highlighted to the local council;
- There is no footway;
- Has seen 2 students being struck by cars. Increase speed will result in serious injury or worse;
- Parked cars act as traffic calming;
- Resident needs parking to unload at home;
- No consideration to allow the safe passage of children walking to Clyst Vale School;
- requires parking close to the allotments;
- would result in the loss of approximately six parking spaces along Allotment Gardens;
- loss of parking spaces would result in considerable competition for parking in Tower View resulting in disputes between neighbours.

**Supporting arguments:****Devon County Council Response****Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time from the Allotments to the School Keep Clear to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

The road is subject to a 20mph speed limit with road humps to calm traffic.

The parish council have requested the parking restrictions to ensure the road is wide enough for two-way traffic to pass without striking the church.

Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes locations that might put structures at risk.

- Safety of school children and pedestrians is overdue.

**Suggestions:**

- Allow parking to manage traffic speeds.
- Introduce a chicane.
- Introduce a footway to protect church.
- exclude the road outside Allotment Gardens or to change the times of the restrictions to 9-5.

**Questions:**

- What alternative parking is being provided?
- Will alternative parking spaces be provided?

**Additional Info:**

- Existing speed humps are ineffective and speeds have increased not decreased in the area.
- Resident notes that one of the most frequent non-residential vehicles parked in this area is a Devon County Council school bus;
- Resident states the volume of traffic in this area is entirely the fault of the County Council for allowing this area to become a “Rat Run” since the development of Cranbrook and Rockbeare;
- Traffic calming introduced a few years ago has not reduced the speed of traffic and has only resulted in excessive noise.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-08 High Street, Budleigh Salterton**

**1 respondent – 1 resident of High Street**

**Comments**

1 resident opposes the proposals.

**Objections:**

- requires parking on-street to be able to load a disabled relative or children into and from their vehicle.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time outside 32 to create a passing place to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Loading/unloading of goods and passengers is still permitted on yellow lines.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-09 Fore Street, Budleigh Salterton****1 respondent – 1 resident of Fore Street****Comments**

1 resident supports the proposals.

**Suggestions:**

- requests that consideration of the parking pressures to be considered more widely in this location with an extension eastward to link into the existing 'no waiting at any time' that starts outside 16 Fore Street;
- Resident gives detailed information on which they base their request, please see PDF for details.

**Devon County Council Response****Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time across the access between 10 &amp; 14 to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-10 East Budleigh Road, Budleigh Salterton****1 respondent – 1 resident of East Budleigh Road****Comments**

1 resident supports the proposals.

**Supporting arguments:**

- Cars parked outside SeaChange is dangerous for drivers and pedestrians, especially as they park opposite a driveway to 5 properties.

**Devon County Council Response****Reason for Proposal:**

Extend No Waiting At Any Time north of Boucher Road to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-11 Cliff Terrace, Budleigh Salterton**  
**3 respondents – 3 residents of Cliff Terrace**

**Comments**

**Devon County Council Response**

1 resident supports and 2 residents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- Respondent states that restrictions are essentially the same as those proposed in January 2021 which were never implemented, and believes there is no conceivable reason restrictions should be implemented now as circumstances have not changed;
- The proposal is disproportionate and discriminatory;
- Proposal does not take into account the needs of disabled people to allow access to and egress from their homes;
- Existing double yellow lines allow for loading and unloading for blue badge holders;
- Proposals would prevent loading/ unloading large items;
- Loading/unloading is necessary for garden supplies to be delivered and rubbish taken away;
- A number of properties do not have direct vehicular access to the lane from their front doors;
- If proposals would be approved, they would likely face additional challenges;
- Restrictions would prevent a legal way to receiving deliveries, especially of bulky or heavy goods;
- Current double yellow lines are a sufficient control on parking;
- Resident does not understand why further restrictions have been proposed;
- Vehicles parked temporarily on yellow lines or in the current designated 1 hour parking places on side of gardens opposite will not be blocking access as these gardens do not have vehicular access.

**Supporting arguments:**

- This is principally a pedestrian way; There is ample parking in Budleigh Salterton;
- Existing waiting restrictions are almost never followed;
- It is dangerous, polluting, and a nuisance in one way or another to all the residents in the Terrace most of whom are elderly, and some of whom have restricted mobility.

**Additional Info:**

- Residents submits the same comments as they submitted in January 2021.

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Loading At Any Time on top of the No Waiting At Any Time restrictions to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

The proposed restrictions were designed to secure access to the gardens and off-street parking due to current illegal parking

However, as there is an objection to the No Loading restriction we cannot proceed without a public enquiry first. There is no resource for this and therefore we cannot progress this request.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are not progressed.

**ENV5933-12 Road from Honiton Road to Pound Corner, Clyst Honiton**  
**19 respondents – 6 residents of Ship Lane, 1 resident of St Michaels Hill, 5 residents of Clyst Honiton, Clyst Honiton Parish Council & 6 respondents from outside Clyst Honiton**

**Comments**

**Devon County Council Response**

15 respondents support and 4 respondents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- Proposed restriction is at least twice as long as is necessary to achieve the desired result;
- Resident states large vehicles have no problems driving up Ship Lane;
- restrictions will not work due to disabled parking. The proposal will mean that resident has to park an unacceptable distance from property;
- There is limited parking on the street;
- the reason for proposed restrictions is because road used to be a through route;
- the existing yellow line is not required;
- Parish Council requested that restriction does not extend beyond Ship Lane;
- Road is used for airport parking;
- Will need effective enforcement;
- Will create parking problems for support worker and disabled access.

**Supporting arguments:**

- Supports proposals;
- Residents report access to Ship Lane is an issue;
- resident has to reverse vehicle up Ship Lane due to vehicles parked on the opposite junction;
- Parking restricts access for emergency services;
- Parking reduces visibility of oncoming traffic;
- Vehicles are parked close to the Honiton Road junction using unloading as his excuse to do so;
- The lane is already very tight;
- Residents should be able to have clear access to their home for various purposes;
- Residents express concern re access for vehicles, especially service and delivery vehicles;
- Damage to property and vehicles;
- Residents of the cottages have alternative parking options.

**Suggestions:**

- The parish council suggests restriction is reduced to Ship Lane entrance, with a dedicated disabled parking bay just beyond it.
- Restriction only needs to be extended two/three car lengths to solve the problems.

**Reason for Proposal:**

Upgrade No Waiting to No Waiting At Any Time to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

The proposed restriction will upgrade the existing single yellow line to prevent parking opposite the Ship Lane junction and opposite/outside the off-street parking.

Health & Care workers are able to apply for permits that permit them to park on yellow lines for up to 3 hours, provided the vehicles do not cause an obstruction. There is also a similar exemption for blue badge holders.

An on-street disabled bay can be provided for blue badge holders that have a vehicle based at their address. Applications can be made by contacting our Customer Service Team on 0345 155 1004.

Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes opposite junctions and in front of a dropped kerb.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-13 Woodbury Road, Clyst St George  
1 respondent – 1 resident of Clyst Honiton**

**Comments**

1 resident supports the proposals.

**Supporting arguments:**

- cannot get up Ship Lane due to people parking.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

Upgrade Advisory School Keep Clear to a Mandatory School Keep Clear to prevent parking outside school.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-14 Queens Court, Colyton**

**11 respondents – 7 residents of Queens Court, 3 residents of Queens Square & 1 resident of Bishops Court**

**Comments**

9 residents support and 2 residents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- Opposes yellow lines on length which is Private Road, where no one parks.

**Supporting arguments:**

- Restrictions would make the entrance to Queen's Court a safer place for vehicle entry and exit and will also help pedestrians (especially school children and parents using the footpath through Queen's Court to the local school) to safely cross Queen's Street and Queen's Square;
- Restrictions will also make it easier to negotiate narrow and often partially blocked street;
- problems with refuse collection;
- Emergency vehicles (fire, ambulance etc) could be prevented from access;
- Parked cars cause issues;
- Having double yellow line on the road will make it clear that parking here is unacceptable;
- It is very difficult for carer to visit resident;
- It is difficult to cross the road;
- Cars and people must be in the middle of the road before it is possible to see whether or not the road is clear, putting them in a very vulnerable position;
- Cars approaching from Queen Street to the east are unable to see any obstacle until the last minute;
- Would enable pedestrian access in and around Queen's Square;
- Would enable Restricted parking in Queen's Square for private vehicles only;
- Would ensure parking is in the three designated parking bays;

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time at junction with Queens Square to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

Requests for enforcement can be made via the council's website and can include details on the location and timings to enable better targeting of the enforcement resource.

It is noted that the proposed plan contains an error and extends the restriction beyond the extent of the public highway onto land outside the jurisdiction of the council. It is therefore proposed to shorten the proposed restrictions to the end of the public highway.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would enable safe vehicular access in and out of Queens Court and for every other access in and out of Queen's Square.</li> </ul> <p><b>Suggestions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase enforcement.</li> <li>• appeals for a wider review of Queens Square safety to be taken into account, particularly the corner between Queens Square and Old Sidmouth Road which is.</li> <li>• Extend restrictions in a westerly direction to Sidmouth Road.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Recommendation</b> It is recommended that the proposals are implemented but only on the extent of the public highway.</p>	

<b>ENV5933-15a/b Foxholes Hill, Exmouth</b> <b>2 respondents – 3 residents of Foxholes Hill and 1 resident of Greenhill Avenue</b>	
<b>Comments</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p>3 residents support and 1 resident oppose the proposals.</p> <p><b>Objections:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There are limited areas to park without paying fee;</li> <li>• The road is plenty wide enough to retain this parking.</li> </ul> <p><b>Supporting arguments:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Resident reports multiple issues with parked campervans for days on end at this location;</li> <li>• It is a residential area - there are no facilities for motor caravans.</li> </ul> <p><b>Questions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would like to know how you are going to enforce this restriction – Vehicles regularly park on the double yellow lines near the green on Foxholes Hill.</li> </ul> <p><b>Additional Info:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Double yellow lines near the post box at the end of the green at the top of Foxholes Hill are badly worn away and need repainting as they are being totally ignored.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal:</b> Extend No Waiting At Any Time outside Belle Vue and No Waiting for Motor Caravans 8pm-8am from 10 to opposite 27 to prevent obstructive parking.</p> <p><b>Officer comments:</b> Support noted.</p> <p>Requests for enforcement can be made via the council's website and can include details on the location and timings to enable better targeting of the enforcement resource.</p> <p>The issue of the worn markings is noted and the maintenance team informed.</p>
<p><b>Recommendation</b> It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.</p>	



**ENV5933-16 Long Causeway, Exmouth****1 respondent – 1 resident of Long Causeway****Comments**

1 resident supports the proposal.

**Supporting arguments:**

- Resident finds pulling out onto Long Causeway to be hazardous as it is impossible to see traffic coming up the lane from the entrance to Exmouth House/Court, largely because of the parking currently permitted. Especially as tall vans are often parked there;
- Many of the residents of Exmouth Court drive and are 60+ with naturally slower reflexes;
- Long Causeway is a bit of a 'rat run' especially at peak commuting times.

**Suggestions:**

- It would also help if a concave mirror could be positioned opposite the entrance to Exmouth House/Court to enable residents to pull out safely.

**Devon County Council Response****Reason for Proposal:**

Extend No Waiting At Any Time on the south side to improve visibility.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

A mirror at this location would not be permitted on the public highway.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-17 Buckingham Close, Exmouth****1 respondent – 1 resident of Buckingham Close****Comments**

1 resident supports the proposals.

**Supporting arguments:**

- Resident has difficulty getting out of driveway.
- Respondents upset with the view from their houses being taken up by cars and lorries, and with the associated noise.

**Suggestions:**

- Extend the restriction on the north side of the road down to the entrance to Pincombe Court.
- Respondent requests 20mph speed limit.

**Devon County Council Response****Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time around the turning head to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

It is not possible to extend the restrictions as part of this process so it is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as proposed and if problems arise then further restrictions can be considered as part of a future review.

Changes in speed limit fall outside the scope of this scheme.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-18 Douglas Avenue, Exmouth**  
**1 respondent – 1 resident of Louisa Place**

<b>Comments</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p>1 resident supports the proposals.</p> <p><b>Supporting arguments:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access to garage frequently obstructed, which makes coming out onto Louisa Place extremely difficult and dangerous.</li> </ul> <p><b>Suggestions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Restrictions should be properly monitored and offenders ticketed.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal:</b>            No Waiting At Any Time around the junction with Mayfield Drive to improve visibility.</p> <p><b>Officer comments:</b>            Support noted.</p>

**Recommendation**  
 It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-22 Hulham Road, Exmouth**  
**4 respondents – 4 residents of Woodland Court**

<b>Comments</b>	<b>Devon County Council Response</b>
<p>4 respondents oppose the proposals.</p> <p><b>Objections:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Residents of Woodlands Court are not permitted to park on the grounds;</li> <li>• A substantial amount of parking was lost when the bus stop was marked on the road;</li> <li>• If further parking is lost, it will move congestion closer to the bends on Hullham Road and increase the hazards caused by speeding motorists;</li> <li>• Restrictions would just move parking further down or further up Hulham Road;</li> <li>• Introduction of bus stop markings has since lead to cars being damaged frequently by buses pulling out of the bay;</li> <li>• Speed is an issue - removing parking will increase the speed of vehicles;</li> <li>• Not reasonable to expect residents to park half a mile from their property, especially as streetlights turn off at 1pm;</li> <li>• There are no details explaining the reasoning behind the proposals;</li> <li>• parked cars mostly belong to residents who would otherwise be forced into parking in Woodlands Drive which already poses a risk to pedestrians due to the volume of vehicles;</li> <li>• Preventing parking will cause more problems than it will solve;</li> <li>• A proper solution is overdue, especially with the new housing development and road extension further up Hulham Road;</li> <li>• Parking on both sides of the road acts as a traffic calming measure as the council seems unwilling to put in a speed camera or speed humps.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Reason for Proposal:</b>            Bus Stop (No Stopping At Any Time Except Buses) outside 192 to prevent obstructive parking.</p> <p><b>Officer comments:</b>            The bus cage is proposed to ensure that buses can pull up to the kerb to ensure disabled access and egress.</p> <p>Parking is still permitted either side of the bus stops.</p> <p>The council has no control over bus drivers and any concerns should be reported directly to the bus company.</p> <p>Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes access to bus stops.</p> <p>The speed of traffic on Hulham Road has been considered by the councils Speed Compliance Action Review Forum.</p>

**Suggestions:**

- Enforce 30mph limit, or even reduce it to 20mph;
- Ensure bus drivers comply with the law and report accidents they are involved in;
- There is a lack of pedestrian islands;
- With the new housing development/road extension just slightly further up Hulham Road, a proper solution is needed.

**Additional Info:**

- Road width encourages vehicles – including buses – to travel above 30mph.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-23 St Johns Road, St Johns Road**

**4 respondents – 3 residents of St Johns Road & 1 resident of Marcus Road**

**Comments**

1 respondents support1, 2 respondents oppose the proposals and 1 is unknown.

**Objections:**

- parking on St Johns Road has been reduced by the realignment of Marcus Road;
- reducing the parking will lead to parking across drives which is already an issue at the top of Wood Lane;
- Council never applied for traffic regulations when they gave the diagonal strip of public highway to the developer, removing 2 valid and well used parking spaces.

**Suggestions:**

- prevent vehicles parking on the grass footpath at the bottom of Marcus Road;
- parking should be extended across 46 St Johns Road/Wood Lane;
- Shorten proposed double yellow lines, into Marcus Road;
- Reinstate parking spaces opposite No.42 St Johns Road;
- Parking spaces removed due to the installation of previous restrictions should be reinstated;
- Lane below Marcus Road needs double yellow lines on the left - current parking behaviour makes access to the route for the bus stop difficult, if not impossible.

**Devon County Council Response****Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Marcus Road to improve visibility and prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes visibility at junctions, as per the highway code.

The proposed restriction will prevent parking on the highway verge & footway behind the restrictions.

Due to the low volume of traffic using the junction it is recommended to reduce the length of the proposed waiting restriction in Marcus Road.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised with a variation to the proposal in Marcus Road. The variation is a reduced length (No waiting At Any Time for 5m from its junction with St John's Road in a northerly direction) of waiting restriction on both sides of Marcus Road.

**ENV5933-24 Phear Avenue, Exmouth**

**2 respondent – 1 resident of Phear Avenue and 1 resident of Hamilton Lane**

**Comments**

2 residents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- Proposals will prevent the ability for their relative to attend appointments or receive visitors, despite preventing inconsiderate parking from motor homes;
- Many areas in Exmouth with no waiting at any time restrictions are in locations where properties have access to either a driveway or garage, which Phear Avenue doesn't have;
- Should be able to park outside of a property they have purchased.

**Suggestions:**

- Attention should be on the prohibition of large and inconsiderate motorhome owners who do not live on Phear Avenue;
- Residents permit holders parking on Phear Avenue rather than the proposed restrictions;
- Could the council look into driveways or garages if the proposed restrictions go ahead.

**Additional Info:**

- Was not aware of the proposals as they were away and felt that there was not enough time to allow for people to respond.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time on both sides around the bend into Hamilton Lane to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes narrow sections of road.

Unfortunately, the council cannot offer financial support for private residents to install off-street parking.

Resident's parking could be considered but is outside the scope of this programme. Any future schemes would be consulted upon before implementation to gather the views of all residents.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-25 Station Road, Exton**  
**65 respondents – 6 residents of River Front**

**Comments**

5 respondents support and 1 respondent opposes the proposals.

**Objections:**

- Proposed lines do not extend far enough as parked cars, especially SUVs would still cause obstruction;
- No point of double yellow lines across a busy junction.

**Supporting arguments:**

- Will enhance safety, particularly for cyclists entering the Exe Trail;
- Parking causing issues with deliveries has deteriorated over the years.

**Suggestions:**

- Restrictions should be longer to facilitate rail replacement bus services, emergency vehicles, waste collection vehicles and construction lorries;
- Extending the yellow lines at the Puffing Billy on both sides of the road. People parking there legally force drivers to approach a blind corner on the wrong side of the road. Extending will allow space of people to pass.

**Additional Info:**

- Proposals do not match the 'Statement of Reasons';
- Regular obstruction of the road leads to failed postage and food deliveries; Failure to facilitate passage for emergency service vehicles along Station Road to river access, station and resident will put people in danger.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**  
 No Waiting At Any Time across the exit to the Exe Estuary Trail to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**  
 Support noted.

It is not possible to extend the restrictions as part of this process so it is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as proposed and if problems arise then further restrictions can be considered as part of a future review.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-26 Ashleigh Road, Honiton**  
**1 respondent – 1 resident of Ashleigh Road**

**Comments**

1 respondent supports the proposals.

**Supporting arguments:**

- Respondent reports having witnessed a number of 'near misses';
- Respondent believes this is a sensible preventative step.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**  
 No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Dowell Street to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**  
 Support noted.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-27 King Street, Honiton**  
**1 respondent – 1 resident of King Street**

**Comments**

1 respondent opposes the proposals.

**Objections:**

- Where should people park;
- parking is taken up by local garages leaving cars pending servicing – 6 spaces have been lost due to the old auto space shop being taken over.

**Suggestions:**

- Convert grass area behind Kings Mews to a car park.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Kings Mews to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes visibility at junctions, as per the highway code.

The land is not owned by the council.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-28 Ottery Moor Lane, Honiton**  
**1 respondent – 1 business of Bramble Hill Industrial Estate**

**Comments**

1 respondent supports the proposals.

**Suggestions:**

- Restrictions should be extended, round the corner as at times cars park both sides obstructing access for lorries;
- At the top of Otter Moor Lane, at the junction, there needs to be Double yellow lines that go from the junction round the corner by the church as cars park to close to the junction and make it difficult to go round them down the road. One cannot see what's coming up the road and people often pull out to overtake in front of oncoming traffic.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time for both sides along a length of the road near the junction of Crier Street to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

It is not possible to extend the restrictions as part of this process so it is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as proposed and if problems arise then further restrictions can be considered as part of a future review.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-29 The Strand, Lypstone**

**5 respondents – 2 residents of The Strand, 1 resident of Church Road, 1 Resident of Greenhill Avenue & 1 resident of Longmeadow Road**

**Comments**

**Devon County Council Response**

5 respondents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- Parking spaces allow residents to unload;
- people would have to walk an unreasonable distance to load and unload their vehicle;
- Removal of any parking is unacceptable;
- Over the past 15 years there has been a steady reduction in the availability of roadside parking to the point where there is nowhere for residents to park;
- The village Car Park is always full;
- proposals enable EDDC and DCC to issue more parking tickets;
- More parking should be provided where possible, rather than reduced;
- It is difficult for elderly and infirm residents to use the shop if they cannot travel by car;
- Restrictions would limit parking in the centre of the village;
- No reason to remove this other than to force people into the paid parking area.

**Suggestions:**

- Change the designation to a loading/unloading bay or change from 1 hour to 30mins.

**Additional Info:**

A Petition was received during the consultation (but not directly resulting from the consultation) requesting removal of the whole limited waiting parking bay.

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time to shorten Limited Waiting bay outside Acorn Cottage to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes narrow sections of road.

The parking in The Strand is proposed to be shortened by one space to ensure there is width for larger delivery vehicles (including gritting lorries) to pass without mounting the footway.

Loading and unloading is still permitted on yellow lines.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

However, future amendments to the limited waiting bay are to be considered. Any agreed amendments will be consulted upon prior to those changes being implementation.

**ENV5933-31 Church Hill, Otterton**  
**1 respondent – 1 resident of Church Hill**

**Comments**

1 respondent supports the proposals.

**Supporting arguments:**

- This part of the Hill is very narrow and when cars are parked it causes a hazard to pedestrians who have to walk into the road to pass by;
- Large vehicles, ambulances, refuse trucks and delivery vans cannot pass by and have to reverse back on to a busy main road to avoid the blocked road.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time on the west side at the junction with Fore Street to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-32 Chapel Lane, Ottery St Mary**  
**2 respondents – 2 residents of Brooklands Orchards**

**Comments**

1 respondent supports the proposals. 1 respondent made suggestions.

**Supporting arguments:**

- The area passing Brooklands Orchard is sometime in passable due to large vehicles blocking the road.

**Additional Info:**

- Resident states restrictions will not make much difference as current restrictions are not enforced.

**Suggestions:**

Proposals don't resolve the problems at the pinch point at the junction of Brooklands Villas. More restrictions are required at the junction.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time on both sides at the junctions of Yonder Street and Sandhill Street to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

Requests for enforcement can be made via the council's website and can include details on the location and timings to enable better targeting of the enforcement resource.

It is not possible to extend the restrictions as part of this process so it is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as proposed and if problems arise then further restrictions can be considered as part of a future review.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.



**ENV5933-33 The College, Ottery St Mary****5 respondents – 3 residents of The College, 1 resident of Bendarroch Road & 1 resident of Silver Street****Comments**

2 respondents support and 3 respondents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- parking for residents is already limited;
- Only 3 out of 6 properties in The College have off road parking;
- Restrictions remove 2 parking spaces;
- Restrictions are proposed for a pinch point due to location of an old power mast;
- People rely on parking;
- Unrestricted parking in Ottery St Mary is very rare;
- Restrictions would cause difficulties.

**Supporting arguments:**

- Restrictions will make pedestrian exit from the church steps much safer;
- Road is very narrow;
- Very awkward for residents to get in and out of their drives;
- Very awkward for larger delivery vehicles or work vehicles to drive down.

**Suggestions:**

- Is there anything that can be done about pavement parking in The College that is damaging the pavement and obstructing pedestrians.
- Restriction will only be of use if it is policed. There is frequent parking on the yellow lines that are there already, making turning at the end of the road awkward.

**Devon County Council Response****Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time opposite the steps to the church to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes narrow sections of road.

It is not possible to remove the telegraph pole and streetlight.

The council does not currently have powers to tackle pavement parking.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-34 Marlpit Lane, Seaton  
1 respondent – 1 resident of Marlpit Lane**

**Comments**

1 respondent supports the proposals.

**Suggestions:**

- Junction considered to be confusing/dangerous;
- A defined area for pedestrians using a solid white line.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time on the west side at the junction with Beer Road to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

There are no recorded collisions at the junction.

A “virtual” footway is not permitted by the national regulations and there is insufficient width for a full footway. Drivers will be aware there is no footway and in a residential area will understand there is a risk of pedestrians in the road.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-35 Fortfield Terrace, Sidmouth  
26 respondents – 20 residents of Fortfield Terrace, 3 residents of Sidmouth & 3 residents from outside Sidmouth**

**Comments**

26 respondents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- there is already a ‘No Waiting area’ at the western end of Fortfield Terrace that allows emergency access to the Cricket Club;
- restrictions would remove two parking spaces;
- Resident's parking often used by members of the public to access local amenities;
- residents struggle to park outside properties;
- the majority of properties on the terrace are split into multiple flats;
- believes there is rarely a need for extra space at the upper end of the parking bays, because somebody has parked illegally at the end in the waiting space that is already there;
- parking is already an issue for residents due to cricket, croquet, tennis matches;
- Resident is not aware of any obstruction problems that removing existing spaces will solve;
- Resident believes any benefit would be minimal and would be far outweighed by the detriment to Fortfield Terrace residents of not being able to park outside their homes;
- Resident suspects alleged obstructions are caused by people who should not have cars in the Terrace in the first place;

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time on both sides at the end of the road to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

The existing markings are advisory and it is proposed to introduce restrictions to ensure that parking is formally prohibited.

Parking at the end of the road needs to be restricted to allow vehicles to turn and retain emergency access to the cricket club.

Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes parking that prevents turning manoeuvres.

A yellow box junction is not permitted as it would not be the correct use of the statutory marking.

- The problem seems to be that the existing restrictions are ignored. Why would a larger area be better observed?
- There is illegal parking for days on end which make it impossible for residents who hold parking permits to park on returning to the terrace;
- No need for us to lose parking spaces to accommodate a turning circle as there has never been a problem with large refuse lorries and deliveries;
- Will transfer and exacerbate the parking issues in the adjacent streets; Residents already have to park off the Terrace, many are elderly and need to unload heavy shopping.

**Suggestions:**

- suggests adding a space at the eastern end.
- The No Through sign at the entrance to the terrace to be more prominent.
- A sign stating there is no turning area at the bottom of the terrace would be helpful.
- Consider replacing first two spaces with parallel ones which would give greater clearance.
- Suggest yellow box.
- Reduce the club's allocation of permits to compensate for the lost spaces.
- Change "to resident parking" as the 30 minute waiting is completely ignored.
- Consider a pay and display meter for non-residents.
- There has never been clear visible signage informing drivers that the Terrace is a no through road.

There is no change to the allocation of permits as a result of the proposed restrictions to secure the turning area.

It is not possible to change other restrictions as part of this process so it is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as proposed and further restrictions can be considered as part of a future review.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-37 Sid Vale Close, Sidford**

**2 respondents – 1 resident of Sid Vale Close & 1 resident of School Street**

**Comments**

1 respondent supports and 1 respondent opposes the proposals.

**Objections:**

- Restrictions will result in a reduction of parking;
- Residents in School Street have nowhere else to park;
- Parking problem in Sid Vale Close is due to people using it as a park& ride, to access local facilities, avoid paying to use the car park and waiting to pick kids up from school;
- Parking issues did not exist when car park was free;
- Parking issues may increase with the addition of an industrial estate nearby;
- People ignore the yellow lines already. This is never policed and this would be the same with increased restrictions.

**Supporting arguments:**

- current parking causes entrance and exit issues from residents' properties.

**Suggestions:**

- Extend restrictions.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

Extend No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of School Street to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes access to off-street parking.

The off-street car park is outside the jurisdiction of the county council.

Any concerns about the impacts of a new development should be submitted to East Devon planning as part of their consultation on the proposals.

Requests for enforcement can be made via the council's website and can include details on the location and timings to enable better targeting of the enforcement resource.

It is not possible to extend the restrictions as part of this process so it is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as proposed and if problems arise then further restrictions can be considered as part of a future review.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-38 Sid Park Road, Sidmouth**  
**5 respondents – 5 residents of Sid Park Road**

**Comments**

1 respondent supports and 4 respondents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- Resident does not understand need for restrictions;
- Restrictions would exacerbate parking problem for those living there;
- Limited parking for residents will be further impinged upon;
- Resident objects to existing disabled bay;
- Proposed restrictions are not sufficient;
- Sid Park Road is used for people walking into town;
- Current situation is not good for the environment;
- Area does not cause obstruction;
- The area that causes issues is opposite 33\34.

**Suggestions:**

- A 2 hour restriction on parking (residents' exempt) on Sid Park Road would be a better option.

**Questions:**

- Will the new parking restriction be monitored unlike the double yellow lines at the top of the road (on to Temple Street) which are completely ignored but no penalties are issued?

**Additional Info:**

- Resident raises the issues with the opposite corner (i.e. adjacent to The Byes/next to the parking bays); Vehicles continually park illegally on this corner (past the corner and sometimes by a cars length) making it extremely difficult for emergency and commercial sized vehicles to turn around.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time adjacent to 34, at the junction of private southern spur to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes visibility at junctions, as per the highway code.

We have received reports about the disabled bay and are investigating.

The parking outside 33/34 is not public highway and outside the jurisdiction of the council.

Residents have been consulted on parking proposals for Sidmouth and these are being developed for further consultation in the future.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-39 Bickwell Valley, Sidmouth****8 respondents – 1 resident of Bickwell Valley & 7 residents of Boughmore Road****Comments**

6 respondents support and 2 respondents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- Proposed restrictions do not take into consideration vehicles attempting to safely from 2 properties where Boughmore Road and Bickwell Valley meet where parking obscures the view of possible oncoming traffic from all directions;
- Property has an unenforceable white line across the driveway which, with the new proposals would make 3 conflicting parking instructions outside one property.

**Supporting arguments:**

- Cars parked on the proposed area are a danger;
- has had several near misses as there is no visibility either way;
- finds it difficult to see when trying to turn either right or left onto Bickwell Valley;
- Parking should be within safety limits.

**Suggestions:**

- The south corner of the same junction is also very dangerous and should have yellow lines;
- Increasing car parking charges in town along with the affordability crisis the nation is facing is merely going to exacerbate this problem;
- Restrictions should be placed on both corners of the Boughmore Road/Bickwell Valley junction. In summer in particular, it is dangerous entering and exiting the road;
- Proposed parking restrictions should be extended along the entire length of Boughmore Road with parking restrictions along one side of the road from 8am to 6pm.

**Devon County Council Response****Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Boughmore Road to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

It is not possible to extend the restrictions as part of this process so it is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as proposed and if problems arise then further restrictions can be considered as part of a future review.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-40 Kestell Road, Sidmouth**  
**1 respondent – 1 resident of Hillside**

**Comments**

1 resident supports the proposals.

**Supporting arguments:**  
(No supporting arguments)

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**  
No Waiting At Any Time at the junction of Hillside Road to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**  
Support noted.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-41 Bendarroch Road, West Hill**  
**5 respondents – 3 residents of Bendarroch Road, 1 resident of West Hill Road and 1 resident of St Mary's View**

**Comments**

3 respondents support and 2 respondents oppose the proposals.

**Objections:**

- The cars pulling out of St Mary's View have the same view of the road up and down as do the cars parked on the right of Bendarroch Road whether a car is parked in the pull in or not; This has only become an issue since tenants who moved in a couple of years ago made it an issue;
- parking has become worse as more families own multiple cars;
- Resident finds it has had to park up by St Michael's Church which isn't ideal when as car is well out of sight and resident has health issues;
- Adding parking restrictions will make this a much bigger problem unless some kind of solution can be reached to help alleviate parking;
- Resident is not sure they have observed cars parking on the side of Bendarroch road where the restriction is proposed, although they do park on the other side;
- Parking in Bendarroch road helps prevent speeding.

**Supporting arguments:**

- difficult for resident to leave their properties due to inconsiderate parking;
- there is a need for measures to improve safety for residents;
- this is a busy walking route for local school children and parents;
- The road is very busy and 20mph speed limit is not adhered to;
- Resident reports several near misses;
- Resident reports ambulance on several occasions having difficulty accessing sheltered housing (bungalows) due to parked vehicles;

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**  
No Waiting At Any Time on the south side at the junction with St Marys View to prevent obstructive parking at the junction and narrow road.

**Officer comments:**  
Parking is only permitted at locations where it does not cause an obstruction to traffic, which includes visibility at junctions, as per the highway code and where parking narrows the road to an unsuitable width.

It is not possible to extend the restrictions as part of this process so it is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as proposed and if problems arise then further restrictions can be considered as part of a future review.

The county council does not have jurisdiction over the grassed areas or the properties.

- larger vehicles cannot get between double parked vehicles;
- Bendarroch Road is a narrow road without pavements for pedestrians;
- Parking on both sides of the road, in particular, near the junction with St Marys View, results in limited visibility for residents when emerging from driveways/St Mary's View;
- On occasions larger vehicles, including buses, struggling to progress. The road has been blocked with the bus unable to progress at times.

**Suggestions:**

- requests lines on the south side from St Marys View to West Hill Road and either side of the driveways;
- Houses that belong to the council could have their front gardens turned into parking areas and this would cut down a chunk of the problem;
- Converting the two grassy areas on St Mary's View into more parking spaces to free up the road a little more;
- Combine parking restrictions with speed prevention measures.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

**ENV5933-42 The Square, Whimble**

**1 respondent – 1 resident of The Square & Whimble Parish Council**

**Comments**

2 respondents support the proposals.

**Supporting arguments:**

- the space gets blocked by parked cars and causes problems for vehicles trying to pass though;
- Whimble Parish are not in objection of the proposal as long as the lines will only be where indicated on the plans.

**Suggestions:**

- The Square is a conservation area and Ivydene, a listed building so any notices or markings need to be done in keeping with the environment.
- there were planters which prevented cars parking. In resident's opinion double yellow lines would not be appropriate but a small sign on a planter on the kerb to the stream might be.

**Devon County Council Response**

**Reason for Proposal:**

No Waiting At Any Time on both sides where the road is narrowest to prevent obstructive parking.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted.

No Waiting At Any Time will be marked in 50mm wide lines in deep cream which is the most sympathetic option available to allow parking enforcement.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.



**ENV5933-43 Castle Lane Woodbury**  
**1 respondent – 1 resident of Castle Lane**

**Comments**

**Devon County Council Response**

1 resident supports the proposals.

**Supporting arguments:**

- Resident agrees to these proposals, as long as there will be no restrictions for Castle Lane residents.

**Suggestions:**

- Resident expresses concern regarding speed of all vehicles that proceed up and down this road.
- Resident is shocked that there are no speed restrictions already in place as there is a school on this road. Speed humps or camera is needed before an accident happens, damage is caused or worse. Speed signs do nothing, more needs to be done.

**Reason for Proposal:**

Upgrade Advisory School Keep Clear to a Mandatory School Keep Clear and new No Waiting Mon-Fri 8.30am-4.30pm opposite to prevent obstructive parking and improve visibility.

**Officer comments:**

Support noted

It is not possible to include speed calming features as part of this programme. However, the comments have been noted.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.