HCW/16/27 South Hams Highways and Traffic Orders Committee 1 April 2016

# Proposals for the delivery of an annual local Waiting Restrictions Programme

Report of the Head of Highways, Capital Development and Waste

Please note that the following recommendations are subject to consideration and determination by the Committee before taking effect.

## Recommendation: It is recommended that:

- (a) work to date on the annual waiting restrictions programme and the prioritisation process applied is noted;
- (b) waiting restriction schemes are progressed through advertising and sealing of subsequent Orders in priority order as presented in Appendix I, subject to funding being agreed by Cabinet;
- (c) specific detail of individual schemes are developed with the locally effected County Member prior to advertising.

## 1. Summary

The County Council regularly receives requests for waiting restrictions to be introduced or amended. These can be difficult to deliver due to resource and funding pressures which in turn can have a negative impact on the County Council's relationship with local communities.

Recognising this difficulty, a managed process has been developed to deliver an annual local programme for each HATOC area for the funding and delivery of waiting restriction schemes.

The agreed process is set out in this report for Members for information, along with the proposed programme for this Committee's area for approval.

The funding to take forward the schemes is subject to Cabinet decision as part of the wider highways budget setting process.

# 2. Background/Introduction

The proposed process was reported to this Committee in the last round of meetings and in subsequent months officers have refined the process as follows:

- Existing lists of requests from Local Members and local communities have been collated. Where lists did not exist (due to requests having been dealt with in other traffic management review projects) County Members have been contacted to ensure there were no outstanding matters.
- Officers have reviewed requests and assessed cost, legal compliance, compatibility with current parking strategy, wider impacts and any significant ongoing revenue implications.

- A prioritisation tool has been developed to consider the following elements to score positively:
  - Community Benefit/Support
  - Positive effect on congestion and safety
  - Maintenance Category (A roads score highest)
  - Assists in the delivery of on-street parking enforcement

With the following receiving a negative score or variable score:

- Likelihood of parking displacement to other areas
- Potential for objections
- Cost (Higher costs score lower)
- Deliverability (Pass/Fail)(eg affordable, potential for Public Enquiry, can signs/lines be placed etc).

Proposed schemes identified as beneficial to the network, which are in line with Policy and identified as deliverable and non–controversial are presented in priority order in Appendix I.

Each proposal has a notional value assigned to it so the Committee can consider what may be delivered dependant on the funding formula agreed by Cabinet. It is reasonable to assume that each HATOC will be allocated between  $\pounds$ 5,000 and  $\pounds$ 15,000, from a total budget of £100,000 for 16/17.

Additional top-up funding can be provided by Members from their Locality Budget or Parish Councils for eligible schemes, however inclusion in the programme must remain dependant on staffing resource being available to carry out design work.

Once funding is agreed by Cabinet, the programme will be fixed and Officers will plan delivery of the in-year programme. Progress updates will be provided at future Committee meetings.

#### 3. Proposal

Approval is sought for the 16/17 programme for this Committee's area. It is proposed that the schemes identified in Appendix I form the programme working in priority order from top to bottom to suit funding to be agreed by Cabinet.

Whilst it would not be proposed to remove any proposals from the list in favour of alternatives, at this stage top-up funding can be offered by Members or Parish Councils for additional eligible schemes.

Once funding is agreed a finalised list will be circulated by email to Members for information.

The proposals would then be designed and the associated Traffic Order drafted, to be advertised following consultation on the detailed design with the locally effected County Members and Committee Chair.

# 4. Consultations/Representations/Technical Data

The project focuses on requests previously made by Members and communities. These have been reviewed by Officers and identified as beneficial to the network, in line with Policy as deliverable and non–controversial.

With Member support, it is proposed to proceed to advertising a Traffic Order for public consultation.

# 5. Financial Considerations

There will be a cost to the Council in advertising a new Traffic Order for each Committee area; this will be approximately £1,500. In addition the costs of any changes to signing or lining will be attributed to that Order.

Each proposal as presented in Appendix I has an approximate value assigned to it so this Committee can consider what may be delivered dependant on the funding formula agreed by Cabinet. It is reasonable to assume that each HATOC will be allocated between  $\pounds$ 5,000 and  $\pounds$ 15,000, from a total budget of £100,000.

Assuming resource availability top-up funding can be provided by Members or Parish Councils for eligible schemes.

## 6. Sustainability Considerations

There is not considered to be any sustainability issue neutral impact.

## 7. Carbon Impact Considerations

There is not considered to be any carbon emission effect neutral impact.

## 8. Equality Considerations

There is not considered to be any equality effect neutral impact.

#### 9. Legal Considerations

Any changes to parking restrictions will require a new Traffic Order. In order to minimise cost, one Traffic Order will need to be advertised for each HATOC area, and any objections considered.

As care has been given to ensuring proposals are beneficial and non-controversial it is not anticipated that significant objections would be received. Therefore it is proposed that any objections received will be dealt with under delegated powers in consultation with the effected local County Member and Committee Chair.

If significant objection is received for any specific site, it is advised that site is dropped from the programme for this financial year so that progress of the wider programme is not impeded.

# **10.** Risk Management Considerations

This proposal has been assessed and all necessary safeguards or actions have been included to safeguard the Council's position.

There is a reputational risk to not addressing parking issues raised by communities and Members.

## 11. Public Health Impact

There is not considered to be any public health impact.

## 12. Reason for Recommendation/Conclusion

In order to deliver a programme of works for 16/17 officers will focus on the agreed local programme. Any additional non-safety critical requests arising during the year will be considered locally for inclusion as part of a future years programme.

Agreement is sought for the schemes to be progressed in priority order as presented in Appendix I, to match funding agreed by Cabinet.

David Whitton Head of Highways, Capital Development and Waste

#### **Electoral Divisions: All**

Local Government Act 1972: List of Background Papers

Contact for enquiries: Chris Rook

Room No: ABG Lucombe House, County Hall, Exeter. EX2 4QD

Date

Tel No: (01392) 382112

Background Paper

File Ref.

Nil

cr220316shh sc/cr/proposals annual local waiting restrictions programme 02 220316

Location	What is being proposed	Parish/Town	County Councillor	Is the Restriction Deliverable? If No scheme will not be progressed.	Safety (+ve)	Maintenance category	Reduce Congestion (+ve)	Community Benefit (+ve)	Displacement (- ve)	Likely Community Objections (-ve)	Anticipated Work Costs	Disruptive Parking	Can Scheme be Delivered without Additional Works?	Are there parking restrictions in close proximity?	Total
Fore Street and (jnc)Stray Park	Junction Protection in Stray Park and on Fore Street	Yealmpton	Richard Hosking	Yes	No	4	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	14
Riverside Road East	Convert Single Yellow Lines to Double Yellow Lines and extend to junction of Newton Hill	Newton Noss	Richard Hosking	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	14
Weston Lane	Extend Double Yellow Lines on bend near Spar Shop (Bus Route)	Totnes	Robert Vint	Yes	Yes	8	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	14
Newton Hill	Split Limited Waiting bay infront of butchers access	Newton Noss	Richard Hosking	Yes	No	6	No	No	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	13
Plymouth Road	Request for Double Yellow Lines on bend between Dundas and number 5	Totnes	Robert Vint	Yes	Yes	6	No	No	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	11
Main Road and Lower Street	At junction of Lower Street and on Lower Street both sides as far as number 1 Lower Street	West Alvington	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	5	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
Wood Park	Double Yellow Lines extension requested outside No.29 to prevent parking to near the junction. Large vehicles accessing cul-de-sac are damaging kerbs and property opposite in order to manouvre around vehicles. Another request for extention of Double Yellow Lines on the corners. Students/ parent issue.	lvybridge	Roger Croad	Yes	No	g	No	Yes	Na	Νο	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
Chapel Fields	Junction protect to Ashwood # Chasing 2 requests in 2010 #	South Brent	Rosemary Rowe	Yes	No	10	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
Western Wood Way	Extend DYL as lorries have to mount kerb when leaving factory	Sparkwell	John Hart	Yes	Yes	8	No	No	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	10
Embankment Road	Extend line toward Crabshell to prevent awkward parking	Kingsbridge	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	10
Fore Street	Change parking bays to 1/2 hour	Kingsbridge	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	6	No	Yes	Na	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	10
Marldon Cross Hill	Install missing Double Yellow Lines as per Marldon order at junction of Millmans Road	Marldon	Rosemary Rowe		No	7	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	10
The Square	20min Limited Waiting outside Station	Kingswear	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	6	No	Yes	No	No	<£1000	No	Yes	Yes	10
Ferndale Close	Junction protect during school times	Bickleigh	John Hart	Yes	No		No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Totnes Down Hill	Request for Double Yellow Lines on bend between Totnes Down Hill and Moat Hill (Junction with Maudlin Road)	Totnes	Robert Vint	Yes	No	8	No	Yes	No	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	9
Borough Park Road	Turn Single Yellow Lines into Double Yellow Lines to prevent obstruction of cycle way	Totnes	Robert Vint	Yes	No	10	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Collaton Road	To prevent dangerous parking either side of Jubilee Road junction	Malborough	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	8	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Bonfire Hill	Extend Double Yellow Lines 10m into Bonfire Hill spur	Salcombe	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Mill Street	Change one of the new parking bays to a disabled bay	Kingsbridge	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	8	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Montagu Close	Double Yellow Lines at the entrance from Fore Street	Kingsbridge	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	10	No	Yes	Na	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Buckwell Road jnc Fosse Road	Parking at the junction is dangerous	Kingsbridge	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	7	No	Yes	Na	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Northville Park/Archery Close	Parking at the junction is dangerous	Kingsbridge	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	10	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Cross Parks	Remove some Double Yellow Lines that were installed under the Dartmouth Review.	Dartmouth	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	10	No	Yes	No	No	<£1000	No	Yes	Yes	9
Clobells	NW side from junction with Totnes Road SW for approx 22 meters. Complaints of near misses of head on collisions	South Brent	Rosemary Rowe	Yes	No	10	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	9
Church Street	Loading Bay ammendment. Times and days to be amended to 8am to 6pm Monday to Saturday	South Brent	Rosemary Rowe	Yes	No	8	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Riverside Road	Extend double yellow lines outside church to Dittisham Court	Dittisham	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Crowthers Hill	Extend Double Yellow Lines at junction of Above Town	Dartmouth	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	8	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Main Street	Double Yellow Lines at pinch point outside The George and between Sunny Banks and Anchorage	Blackawton	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	7	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Vicarage Road	At pinch point outside Langwells Court	Blackawton	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	7	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Chapel Fields	POLICE. School Entrance has now moved. Remove approx 36m of SYL NW side. Line to extend from Totnes rd NE until footpath	South Brent	Rosemary Rowe	Yes	No	10	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Bunkers Hill	Vehicles parking on footway all day on new raised crossing north of Fawn Close	Ermington	Richard Hosking	Yes	No	6	No	Yes	No	Yes	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	8
HigherTown	Reqest for parking restrictions Outside Hope Thatch. Join both sets of Double Yellow Lines up	Malborough	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	6	No	No	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	8
Road from Bowling Green Cross to Challaborough	Double Yellow Lines already marked but no TRO	Bigbury	Richard Hosking	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	0	No	Yes	Yes	8
Plymouth Road	Turn existing 3hr bay to west of Smithfields to 1hr for residents benefit	Totnes	Robert Vint	Yes	No	6	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	8
	Remove Double Yellow Lines outside new property (below property	Kingswear	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	7	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	8
Higher Contour Road	known as Wingtor) to introduce more parking	-											-		

# Appendix I To HCW/16/27

Location	What is being proposed	Parish/Town	County Councillor	Is the Restriction Deliverable? If No scheme will not be progressed.	Safety (+ve)	Maintenance category	Reduce Congestion (+ve)	Community Benefit (+ve)	Displacement (- ve)	Likely Community Objections (-ve)	Anticipated Work Costs	Disruptive Parking	Can Scheme be Delivered without Additional Works?	Are there parking restrictions in close proximity?	Total
North Street	Reduce Limited waiting bay by 1.2m so cars over hanging bay do not obstruct access to garage of 8 Castle Street. Turn Single Yellow Lines across garage into Double Yellow Lines and extend 1.2m or remove	Totnes	Robert Vint	Yes	No	10	No	No	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	7
North Street (Park View)	Single Yellow Lines should be Double Yellow Lines	Totnes	Robert Vint	Yes	No	10	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	7
	Request double yellow lines to be marked on one side of the road as people are parking on both sides of the road which is making it very hard for cars to get though	Kingsbridge	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	Νο	Yes	Yes	7
Highfield Drive	Parking too close to Embannkment Road (A379)	Kingsbridge	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	7
Warren Road	Parking at the junction is dangerous (A379)	Kingsbridge	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	9	no	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	7
Clarence Street	Install Double Yellow Lines that were missed under the Dartmouth Review	Dartmouth	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	9	No	No	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	7
Lower Street/ Bayards Cove (Outside Dartmouth Arms)	Install 6m of Double Yellow Lines by pub to protect entrance into Bayards cove and pub cellars	Dartmouth	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	10	No	No	No	Νο	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	7
Higher Contour Road	Extend Double Yellow Lines 200yds beyond where they currently end, but extend opposite Mount Pleasant	Kingswear	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	7	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	7
Raddicombe Drive	On bends in drive	Kingswear	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£1000	No	Yes	Yes	7
The Levels	Obstruction / turning	Dittisham	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	7	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	7
Anzac	Double Yellow Lines outside disued pub	Dartmouth	Jonathan Hawkins	Yes	No	8	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	7
Blair Road	Double Yellow Lines requested to prevent obstructive parking for delivery vehicles. Parked cars on corner and oustisde carpet unit prevent lorries turning	lvybridge	Roger Croad	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	7
Blair Road	Loading bay requested. Unit 16 struggling to get deliveries due to parked cars.	lvybridge	Roger Croad	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	7
Yealm Road	Remove limited waiting bay that can't be signed	Newton Noss	Richard Hosking	Yes	No	7	No	No	No	Na	0	No	Yes	Yes	6
Well Street just below the pub (outside Wyselands Barn).	Double Yellow Lines in the narrowest area	Loddiswell	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	No	6
Elmwood Park, entrance to	Prevent dangerous parking at junction of South Brent Rd	Loddiswell	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	No	6
River Close	Double Yellow Lines requested from Cole Lane junction to multiple access point at beginning of Rivers Close. Obstructive parking is causing visibility and manouvreability issues	lvybridge	Roger Croad	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500				6
Fallowfields	Extend Double Yellow Lines on west side from junction acrosss priivate drive. To asssits residents parking on East side	Totnes	Robert Vint	Yes	No	10	No	No	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	5
Farwell Road Paige Adams Road	Extend Double Yellow Lines opposite lane. Emergency vehicles are struggling to enter the access road to Hamelin Care Home due to parked vehicles opposite and around entrance.	Totnes	Robert Vint	Yes	No	10	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	5
Priory Drive	Add gap (Double Yellow Lines) into Limited Waiting bay so resident can swing into garage	Totnes	Robert Vint	Yes	No	10	No	No	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	5
Jubilee Road	Double Yellow Lines opposite 24 Jubilee Road	Malborough	Rufus Gilbert	Yes	No	9	No	No	Yes	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	5